NEW HOUSING UNITS(1) AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION: YEAR TO DATE MARCH 2020 AND 2019

| jurisoiction | year to date |  |  |  |  |  | total housing units |  |  |  |  |  | single-family units |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar-20 |  |  | Mar-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | тотаL | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { SIAGLE } \\ \text { FAMIIY } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Percent } \\ \text { Single } \\ \text { Family } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | тотаL | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { SINGLE } \\ \text { FAMILY } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\substack{\text { Percent } \\ \text { Single } \\ \text { Family }}$ | Change |  | State Percent |  | County Rank |  | Change |  | State Percent |  | County Rank |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Net | Percent | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | Net | Percent | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 |
| State of maryland ( ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ ) | 4,223 | 2,955 | 70.0\% | 4,452 | 2,789 | 62.6\% | (229) | -5.1\% | 101.5\% | 101.6\% |  |  | 166 | 6.0\% |  | 102.6\% |  |  |
| state sum of monthly reporting plps (3) | 4,160 | 892 | 69.5\% | 4,380 | 2,717 | .0\% | (220) | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |  |  | 175 | 6.4\% | \% | 100.0\% |  |  |
| suburban counties | 3,779 | 2,830 | 74.9\% | 4,286 | 2,623 | ${ }^{61.2 \%}$ | (507) | -11.8\% | 90.8\% | 97.9\% |  |  | 207 | 7.9\% | 97.9\% | 96.5\% |  |  |
| INNER SUBURBAN Countes (4) OUTER SUBURBAN Countes ( | 1, $\begin{aligned} & 1,858 \\ & 1,824\end{aligned}$ | 1,458 | $78.5 \%$ $70.3 \%$ | 2,713 | 1,367 <br> 1,156 | - ${ }^{50.4 \%}$ | $(855)$ <br> 351 | $-31.5 \%$ <br> $23.8 \%$ | $44.7 \%$ $43.8 \%$ | 61.9\% $33.6 \%$ |  |  | 91 127 | 6.67\% | $50.4 \%$ $44.4 \%$ | - ${ }^{50.3 \%}$ |  |  |
| Exurban Counties(6) | 97 | 89 | 91.8\% | 100 | 100 | 100.0\% | (3) | -3.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% |  |  | (11) | -11.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.7\% |  |  |
| state balance | 381 | 62 | 16.3\% | 94 | 94 | 100.0\% | 287 | 305.3\% | 9.2\% | 2.1\% |  |  | (32) | -34.0\% | 2.1\% | 3.5\% |  |  |
| $\operatorname{UrBAN}(7)$ | 338 | 19 | 5.6\% | 49 | 49 | 100.0\% | 289 | 589.8\% | 8.1\% | 1.1\% |  |  | (30) | -61.2\% | 0.7\% | 1.8\% |  |  |
| Non SUburban (8) | 43 | 43 | 100.0\% | 45 | 45 | 100.0\% | (2) | -4.4\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% |  |  | (2) | -4.4\% | 1.5\% | 1.7\% |  |  |
| baltimore region | 1,893 | 1,124 | 59.4\% | 1,608 | 1,089 | 67.7\% | 285 | 17.7\% | 45.5\% | 36.7\% |  |  | 35 | 3.2\% | 38.9\% | 40.1\% |  |  |
| ANNE ARUNDEL | 509 | 485 | 95.3\% | 662 | 392 | 59.2\% | (153) | -23.1\% | 12.2\% | 15.1\% | 2 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 93 | 23.7\% | 16.8\% | 14.4\% | 1 | ${ }^{2}$ |
| baltimore county | 446 | 179 | 40.1\% | 382 | 190 | 49.7\% | 64 | 16.8\% | 10.7\% | 8.7\% | 4 | 5 | (11) | -5.8\% | 6.2\% | 7.0\% | 7 | 5 |
| CARROLL | 75 | 75 | 100.0\% | ${ }^{80}$ | ${ }^{80}$ | 100.0\% | (5) | -6.3\% | 1.8\% | 1.8\% | 13 | 10 | (5) | -6.3\% | 2.6\% | 2.9\% | 10 | 9 |
| Harford | 245 | 221 | 90.2\% | 234 | 177 | 75.6\% | 11 | 4.7\% | 5.9\% | 5.3\% | 9 | 6 | 44 | 24.9\% | 7.6\% | 6.5\% | 6 | 7 |
| Howard | 280 | 145 | 51.8\% | 201 | 201 | 100.0\% | 79 | 39.3\% | 6.7\% | 4.6\% | 7 | 7 | (56) | -27.9\% | 5.0\% | 7.4\% | 8 | 4 |
| baltimore city | 338 | 19 | 5.6\% | 99 | 49 | 100.0\% | 289 | 589.8\% | ${ }^{8.1 \%}$ | 1.1\% | 6 | ${ }^{13}$ | (30) | -61.2\% | 0.7\% | 1.8\% | 16 | ${ }^{13}$ |
| suburban washington | 1,432 | 1,069 | 74.7\% | 2,204 | 1,126 | 51.1\% | (772) | -35.0\% | 34.4\% | 50.3\% |  |  | (57) | -5.1\% | 37.0\% | 41.4\% |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Frederick }}$ | $\stackrel{1}{1,42}$ | ${ }^{1,075}$ | 52.0\% | ${ }_{535}$ | ${ }_{341}$ | 63.7\% | (6) | ${ }_{-1.1 \%}$ | 12.7\% | 12.2\% | 1 | 4 | (66) | -19.4\% | 9.5\% | 12.6\% | 4 | 3 |
| MONTGOMERY | 416 | 313 | 75.2\% | 1,071 | 189 | 17.6\% | (655) | -61.2\% | 10.0\% | 24.5\% | 5 | 1 | 124 | 65.6\% | 10.8\% | 7.0\% | ${ }^{3}$ | 6 |
| Prince george's | 487 | 481 | 98.8\% | 598 | 596 | 99.7\% | (111) | -18.6\% | 11.7\% | 13.7\% | 3 | 3 | (115) | -19.3\% | 16.6\% | 21.9\% | 2 | 1 |
| southern maryland | 564 | 457 | 81.0\% | 269 | 269 | 100.0\% | 295 | 109.7\% | 13.6\% | 6.1\% |  |  | 188 | 69.9\% | 15.8\% | 9.9\% |  |  |
| calvert | 161 | 65 | 40.4\% | ${ }^{36}$ | 36 | 100.0\% | 125 | 347.2\% | 3.9\% | 0.8\% | 10 | 15 | 29 | 80.6\% | 2.2\% | 1.3\% | ${ }^{11}$ | 15 |
| ${ }_{\text {CHARLES }}$ | 141 | 141 | 100.0\% | 170 | 170 | 100.0\% | (29) | -17.1\% | 3.4\% | 3.9\% | ${ }^{11}$ | 11 | ${ }^{(29)}$ | -17.1\% | 4.9\% | ${ }^{6.3 \%}$ | 9 | ${ }_{10}$ |
| St. marys | 262 | 251 | 95.8\% | ${ }^{63}$ | 63 | 100.0\% | 199 | 315.9\% | 6.3\% | ${ }^{1.4 \%}$ | 8 | ${ }^{11}$ | 188 | 298.4\% | ${ }^{8.7 \%}$ | ${ }^{2.3 \%}$ | 5 | ${ }^{10}$ |
| WESTERN MARYLAND ALLEGANY (pt)* Frostburg* Lonaconing town* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GARRETT | 18 | 18 | 100.0\% | ${ }^{17}$ |  | 100.0\% |  | 5.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 17 | 17 |  | 5.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 17 |  |
| washington | 36 | 36 | 100.0\% | 40 | 40 | 100.0\% | (4) | -10.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 16 | 14 | (4) | -10.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.5\% | 15 | 14 |
| ( UPPER EAStern shore |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preston town* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {CECLL }}^{\text {CeNT ( }}$ (t) ) | 47 | 47 | 100.0\% | 29 | 29 | 100.0\% | ${ }^{18}$ | 62.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.7\% | 15 | 16 | 18 | 62.1\% | 1.6\% | 1.1\% | 14 | 16 |
| KENT (pt)* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Rock Hall town* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| QUeEN ANES | 84 | 63 | 75.0\% | 125 | 59 | 7.2\% | (41) | -32.8\% | 2.0\% | 2.9\% | 12 | 9 | 4 | 6.8\% | 2.2\% | 2.2\% | 12 | ${ }^{12}$ |
| $\underset{\text { TALBOT* }}{\text { Easton }}$ | 15 | 15 | 100.0\% | 16 | 16 | 100.0\% | (1) | -6.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |  |  | (1) | -6.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% |  |  |
| LOWER EASTER SHOREDorchestr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Somerset |  |  | 100.0\% |  |  | 100.0\% |  | 25.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 18 |  |  | 25.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% |  |  |
| wicomico | 61 | 53 | 86.9\% | ${ }_{60}$ | 60 | 100.0\% | 1 | 1.7\% | 1.5\% | 1.4\% | 14 | ${ }^{12}$ | (7) | -11.7\% | 1.8\% | 2.2\% | 13 | ${ }^{11}$ |
| WORCESTER* Ocean city town | 5 | 5 | 100.0\% | 8 | 8 | 100.0\% | (3) | -37.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% |  |  | (3) | -37.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% |  |  |

PREPARED BY MD Departuent of planning. planning services. 2020
source: u.s. department or commerce. bureau of the census
(1) Includes new on e family units, two family units, thee and four family units and five or more family units
(3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing place
(4) Anne Arundel Baltimore Montsomery and Prince Ceorgés counties
(4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Monttomery and Prince Ceorge's Countites
(5) Calvert, Carroll, ceeil, Charles, Frederich, Aarford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's
(6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Countie
${ }_{(8)}^{\text {(7) Cataroline, Dore Dorch }}$
N. Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties
-

