# Maryland County and Municipal Building Permit Software Survey Report

# **Prepared by**



May 2021

# **Table of Contents**

List of Tables	Page ii
List of Figures	ii
Executive Summary	1
Purpose	1
Methodology	1
Results	2
Who participated in the survey?	2
How do jurisdictions manage their building permits?	3
What type of building permit software are jurisdictions using?	4
Do jurisdictions publicly report their building activity?	9
Conclusions and Recommendations	11
Acknowledgement	12
Appendix A: Survey instrument	13
Appendix B: Individual Survey Responses	17
Appendix C: 2014-2019 Six Year Annual Average of building permits by Maryland jurisdictions using the US Census Building Permits Survey	27
Annendix D: 2019 County and Municipal Population Ranking	49

## **List of Tables**

Table 1: Regional Distribution of Jurisdictions Completing Survey	Page 3
Table 2: Number of Jurisdictions using Third-Party Building Permit Software	5
Table 3: Building Permit Software by County Size Ranking and Percentage of New Housing Building Permits	7
Table 4: Building Permit Software by Municipality Size Ranking and Percentage of New Housing Building Permits	8
Table 5: Internally Developed Building Permit Systems and Percentage of New Housing Building Permits	9

# **List of Figures**

Figure 1: Percentage of Maryland Building Permits Issued Using Third-Party Software	Page 6
Figure 2: Baltimore Metropolitan Council Residential Building Permit Dashboard	10
Figure 3: Baltimore Metropolitan Council Nonresidential Building Permit Dashboard	11

#### **Executive Summary**

Maryland Department of Planning's (Planning) 2020 survey of county and municipal building permit software systems shows that most counties and larger municipalities are using third-party building permit software, with only two large counties using a software system developed in-house. The smaller jurisdictions that track building permit activity tend to use off the shelf office software, specifically Microsoft Office. More than 40% of Maryland's counties also provided building permit and inspection services for their municipalities.

There is a relatively limited number of software vendors supporting building permit tracking for Maryland jurisdictions. The top six software firms used by jurisdictions process 75% of all new housing permits on an annual basis in Maryland. While most counties and a majority of municipalities responding to the survey indicate they report local building activity, less than half of the counties and 20% of the municipalities post building reports online. Based on the survey responses, there appear to be opportunities for increased coordination and sharing of local building permit data with Planning and the potential to develop a statewide building permit reporting system that could help better describe the economic conditions of our communities, which would improve the accuracy of state projections of future development using near real time monitoring of construction activity.

#### **Purpose**

Title 12, §12–505, of the Public Safety Article requires that each local jurisdiction shall enforce the current version of the Maryland Building Performance Standards. At a minimum, the local jurisdiction shall implement these standards by reviewing plans, issuing building permits, inspecting the work, and issuing use/occupancy certificates. How local jurisdictions fulfill this responsibility varies among counties and municipalities across the state. To better understand the approaches taken by counties and municipalities that administer the building permit and inspection process, and to identify what software tools jurisdictions use, Planning conducted a survey in fall 2020 of all jurisdictions in Maryland. This report documents the responses received, analyzes commonalities, and serves as a resource for improved communication among jurisdictions with similar building permit software or for those considering changing software vendors.

#### Methodology

Planning prepared a short online survey (see Appendix A) using Google Forms to solicit information on how local governments manage the processing of building permits. The survey includes 14 questions to ascertain if the jurisdiction issues building permits; if they use some type of software to track permits; who can be contacted for more information about their permit system; and if they report their permit information publicly.

Using Planning's local government contact databases, supplemented by information on local governments' official websites, an email invitation to participate in the online survey was sent in fall 2020 to 177 of the 180 local jurisdictions in Maryland. (*Three small towns do not have a published public email address.*) A total of 312 people associated with the counties and municipalities were solicited to complete the survey.

The emails sent to planning departments, building officials, and town managers explained the purpose of the survey, informed them the survey should take about two to three minutes to complete, and included the link to the Google Form Survey. The standard email survey request stated -

Planning is trying to get a better handle on local governments' building permit software systems and learn how you track building activity. We realize that many counties also handle the building permitting for some municipalities, but we are asking both counties and municipalities about their building permit software systems to get a statewide picture of who tracks building permits in your community. The short survey (which should take about two minutes or less to complete) will help us identify who is the most knowledgeable person in your community regarding your building permit system and the type of software you use to track permits. Ultimately, we will produce a report on the building permit software used throughout the state that you can reference when you are considering upgrading your building permit software system or have a question that another jurisdiction with the same type of building permit software may be able to answer. This information will also be used to initiate conversations about potentially sharing building permit information in a statewide reporting system modeled somewhat after the reporting system that the Baltimore Metropolitan Council maintains.

#### **Results**

According to the *CustomerThermometer.com* website, "A survey response rate of 50% or higher should be considered excellent in most circumstances. A high response rate is likely driven by high levels of motivation to complete the survey, or a strong personal relationship between business and customer." Planning has built strong relationships with our local government partners as reflected in 52% of the 180 Maryland jurisdictions completing the survey (94 responses). We appreciate the valuable insights these jurisdictions have offered regarding their building permit process and the software they use.

The individual responses to the survey can be found in Appendix B. Based on Planning's review of the responses, there is great diversity in Maryland on how building permits are processed. Except for those municipalities that delegate all building permit processing to the county, each jurisdiction has their own way of processing building permits — as unique as each jurisdiction's zoning regulations. However, the responses show some common approaches and preferred building permit software applications. The survey response analysis can be categorized under the following topics:

- Who participated in the survey?
- How do jurisdictions manage their building permits?
- What type of building permit software are jurisdictions using?
- Do jurisdictions publicly report their building activity?

#### Who participated in the survey?

A total of 94 jurisdictions completed the online survey. This includes all 24 counties (including Baltimore City) and 70 municipalities. At least one town or city completed the survey in every county with municipalities.

A regional distribution of the jurisdictions participating in the online survey can be found in Table 1. While the Capital Region has the highest number of municipalities participating, many of those towns do not have planning and zoning authority and coordinate their building permit review with Montgomery

or Prince George's County under the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission. The regional distribution of municipalities that participated in the survey generally reflects the concentration of municipalities in Maryland.

Table 1: Regional	l Distribution	of Jurisdictions	Comple	ting Survey

Regions	Counties	Municipalities	Total
Capital	3	29	32 (34%)
Central	6	8	14 (15%)
Lower Eastern Shore	5	14	19 (20%)
Upper Eastern Shore	4	9	13 (14%)
Southern	3	4	7 (7%)
Western	3	6	9 (10%)
Total	24	70	94 (100%)

With all counties and 70 municipalities participating, the survey results reflect the permitting operations from the most populous county, to a town of less than 150 people (2019 est.). Not only did 45% of Maryland's municipalities participate in the survey, but 14 of the top 15 and 21 of the top 25 most populous towns completed the survey. Based on the US Census Building Permits Survey of annual reported building permits by Maryland jurisdictions, the jurisdictions that participated in the online survey accounted for 99.82% of all new housing units permitted over the past six years. This provides a high degree of confidence that the survey responses will be highly reflective of building permit practices at the local level. (See Appendix C for listing of 2014-2019 six-year annual average of building permits and Appendix D for population ranking of Maryland counties and municipalities.)

#### How do jurisdictions manage their building permits?

All counties in Maryland issue building permits and use some type of building permit software, but only 10 counties (41%) provide some form of permit processing for municipalities in their county (Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Dorchester, Frederick, Garrett, Montgomery, Prince George's, Washington, and Wicomico counties). A total of 41 of the 70 municipalities (59%) responding to the survey indicated they use their county's building permit system. For many of the municipalities in these counties, some form of memorandum of understanding (formally or informally) appears to exist on how to process building permits and the associated building inspections between the county and the municipality. Some respondents indicated a separate zoning certificate is required from the municipality before the county building permit can be issued, and in some cases separate municipal software is used to track these certificates or similar local requirements. Some of the larger municipalities within these counties process their own building permits using their own software.

With Baltimore City and Baltimore and Howard counties not having any municipalities, the municipalities in the remaining eleven counties independently issue building permits without going through a county permit system. (Allegany, Anne Arundel, Caroline, Charles, Harford, Kent, Queen Anne's, St. Mary's, Somerset, Talbot, and Worcester counties do not issue building permits for their municipalities.) A total of 20 municipalities in these eleven counties participated in the survey, and 17 of those 20 municipalities use some form of building permit software. The others indicated they process building permits by hand.

Of the 70 municipalities that responded to the survey, 37 municipalities (53%) indicated they used some form of software to track building activity, while 33 municipalities (47%) indicated that no software was

used – presumedly either the municipality delegates its permitting duties to the county, or the municipality uses some form of hand processing of building permits. Because some municipalities use the county's permitting system, a direct relationship between the size of a municipality and the use of building permit software cannot be determined from this survey. Seven municipalities (10%) report not using any building permit software and do not appear to use the county's building permit system. Presumedly, these municipalities issue and track building permits using handwritten logs.

#### What type of building permit software are jurisdictions using?

Half of the 94 jurisdictions (47) responding to the survey indicated they purchased their building permit software from a private vendor; 20 jurisdictions (21%) have building permit software systems that were developed in-house; and 27 jurisdictions (29%) either do not have, or do not know what type of building permit software/system they are using. Of the 20 jurisdictions that indicated the building permit software was developed in-house, nine jurisdictions report using Microsoft software applications (three MS Access and six MS Excel) to track building permits. It should be noted that some of the jurisdictions, who did not know what building permit software they used, are using their county's software system. Baltimore County developed an in-house building permit system, using an IBM Host on Demand Mainframe, but will be migrating to Azteca Cityworks PLL (https://www.cityworks.com/products/cityworks-pll/) after September 2021. For purposes of analyzing building permit software, Baltimore County's new building permit system is included with all other third-party software vendors (47 originally responded have 3<sup>rd</sup> party software plus Baltimore County for a total of 48).

The 48 jurisdictions responding that they purchased private sector software to manage their building permit activity identified 16 different software applications/firms. Table 2 is a summary breakdown of the building permit software that jurisdictions use. A detailed listing of software each jurisdiction uses can be found in Appendix B.

Table 2: Number of Jurisdictions using Third-Party Building Permit Software

No. of Jurisdictions	Name of Software Firm	WebSite
8	EnerGov	https://www.tylertech.com/products/energov/community-
٥	(Tyler Technologies)	development
4	MUNIS	https://www.tylertech.com/products/munis
4	(Tyler Technologies)	nttps://www.tylertecn.com/products/manis
5	Central Square	https://www.centralsquare.com/
5	Infor	https://www.infor.com/industries/state-local-government
5	Accela	https://www.accela.com/
5	Edmunds	https://www.edmundsgovtech.com/
4	GovQA	https://www.govqa.com/
3	Comcate	https://www.comcate.com/solutions/permitting-and-inspections/
2	Accufund	https://accufund.com/government-accounting
1	Azteca Cityworks PLL	https://www.cityworks.com/products/cityworks-pll/
1	Citizen Serve	https://www.citizenserve.com/
1	CitySuite	https://harriserp.com/solutions/citysuite/
1	Hi5s	https://www.hi5s.com/
1	iWorks	https://iworkscorp.com/
1	LAMA (Davenport)	https://www.davenportgroup.us/
1	Utopia	http://perconti.com/commdev.html
48	Total	

According to the survey respondents, the 16 building permit software companies processed 79% of the new housing building permit activity in Maryland over the past six years, as listed in Figure 1. Infor's series of building software applications (Version 11, Infor Public Sector, Hansen) processed one-third of the new housing building permits in Maryland, followed by Accela government software at 14%, Tyler Technologies EnerGov civic services software at 11%, Azteca Cityworks PLL at 8%, and Central Square at 6%.




Figure 1: Percentage of Maryland Building Permits Issued Using Third-Party Software

At the county level, there are seven building permit software vendors that processed nearly 70% of the new housing building permits in Maryland for the 19 counties listed below. (see Table 3)

<sup>\*</sup> Greenbelt uses Utopia to track building permits, but permits are issued by Prince George's County using Infor software.

Table 3: Building Permit Software by County Size Ranking and Percentage of New Housing Building Permits

Name of Software Firm	County Using	Population Size Ranking	Percent of New Housing Building Permits
	Howard	6	8.90%
Accela	Carroll	9	1.95%
	Washington	11	1.21%
Central Square	St. Mary's	12	4.13%
·	Kent	24	0.15%
Azteca Cityworks PLL (after 09/2021)	Baltimore	3	8.20%
Edmann de	Dorchester	21	0.17%
Edmunds	Somerset	23	0.22%
F	Harford	8	4.07%
EnerGov (Tyler Technologies)	Charles	10	4.51%
(Tyler Technologies)	Queen Anne's	18	1.02%
	Montgomery	1	11.88%
	Prince George's	2	11.95%
Infor	Frederick	7	7.64%
	Cecil	14	0.83%
	Calvert	15	1.60%
LAMA (Davenport)	Caroline	20	0.22%
MUNIS	Wicomico	13	0.40%
(Tyler Technologies)	Talbot	19	0.12%
Total			69.16%

At the municipal level, there are 15 building permit software vendors that processed 9% of the new housing building permits in Maryland for the 29 municipalities listed below (see Table 4). It should be noted that some jurisdictions do not report on new building permits, as this is done by the county.

Table 4: Building Permit Software by Municipality Size Ranking and Percentage of New Housing Building Permits

Name of Software Firm	Place	Population Size Ranking	Percent of New Housing Building Permits
Accela	College Park	9	
Accela	Rockville	3	1.85%
Accufund	Centreville	42	0.20%
Acculatio	Hebron	95	
Central Square	Easton	16	0.24%
Ceriliai Square	Ocean City	29	0.26%
CitizenServe	Cumberland	12	0.01%
CitySuite	Frederick	2	2.78%
	Cambridge	21	0.10%
Comcate	Mount Rainier	27	
	Fruitland	39	0.09%
	Delmar	58	0.20%
Edmunds	Havre de Grace	19	0.47%
	North East	55	
	Aberdeen	17	0.42%
Fnor Cov	Gaithersburg	4	0.63%
EnerGov (Tyler Technologies)	La Plata	23	0.37%
(Tyler Technologies)	Laurel	10	0.15%
	Pocomoke City	50	0.05%
	Forest Heights	66	
GovQA	Seat Pleasant	46	
	Taneytown	31	
Hi5s	Elkton	18	0.05%
iWorks	Greensboro	75	0.01%
MUNIS	Hagerstown	6	0.25%
(Tyler Technologies)	Salisbury	8	0.30%
TrakIt/Central Square	Annapolis	7	0.78%
Utopia	Greenbelt	11	
WebQA	Bel Air	22	0.16%
Total			9.35%

There are twenty jurisdictions that use internally developed building permit tracking software or who did not indicate the type of software used in the survey (See Table 5). This includes five counties. Baltimore City and Anne Arundel County have internally developed building permit software systems, while Allegany and Garrett use Microsoft Office developed permit systems. Worcester County reported its permit system was internally developed but does not use Microsoft software.

Table 5: Internally Developed Building Permit Systems and Percentage of New Housing Building Permits

Internally Developed Building Permit Syst	ems	Percent of New Housing Building Permits
CCE and E-plans	Baltimore City	5.35%
PIPs/Case Manager	Anne Arundel County	13.28%
Microsoft Access (3)		0.75%
Microsoft Excel (6)		0.35%
Others/Unspecified (9)		1.58%
Total		21.31%

#### Do jurisdictions publicly report their building activity?

All but four of the counties (20 or 83% of the counties) responded that they prepare a report on the county's building activities, and 35 (50%) of the municipalities responding to the survey indicated they publish reports on their building activity. Eleven counties (46%) and 13 municipalities (19%) -a total of 24 jurisdictions-responded that they post a report on the jurisdiction's building activity online.

To test the availability of local building permit reports online, a series of Google searches were done for those counties that indicated they reported and posted building permit (or development) activity. A Building Permit Activity Summary Report was easily found on the county's website for eight of the 11 counties indicating that they posted building permit activity; however, the content of the reports varies widely but can be grouped into three general categories: (1) general reporting (monthly or annually) on the number of building permits by type of construction; (2) reporting of building permit activity impact on local adequate public facilities regulations; and (3) annual report on development activity trends and its impact on local growth management policies. The following are county examples (web links) of the types of construction activity reports found:

#### **General Building Permit Reporting**

Cecil – https://www.ccgov.org/home/showpublisheddocument?id=41864

Frederick - <a href="https://www.frederickcountymd.gov/DocumentCenter/View/330789/CountywideDwellingUnit\_2021">https://www.frederickcountymd.gov/DocumentCenter/View/330789/CountywideDwellingUnit\_2021</a> Wicomico - <a href="https://www.wicomicocounty.org/DocumentCenter/View/8426/2014-2019-Building-Permit-Activity">https://www.wicomicocounty.org/DocumentCenter/View/8426/2014-2019-Building-Permit-Activity</a>

#### Building Permit Activity Impact on Adequate Public Facilities Reporting

Anne Arundel – <a href="https://www.aacounty.org/departments/planning-and-zoning/research-and-gis/forms-and-publications/SchoolImpact.pdf">https://www.aacounty.org/departments/planning-and-zoning/research-and-gis/forms-and-publications/SchoolImpact.pdf</a>

Carroll - https://www.carrollcountymd.gov/media/2021/fy-2018-concurrency-report.pdf

#### **Growth Management/Development Activity Reporting**


Harford – <a href="https://www.harfordcountymd.gov/DocumentCenter/View/15573/2019-Annual-Growth-Report">https://www.harfordcountymd.gov/DocumentCenter/View/15573/2019-Annual-Growth-Report</a>

 $\textbf{Howard} - \underline{\text{https://www.howardcountymd.gov/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=EYPwF5-AeZk\%3d\&portalid=0}}$ 

The Baltimore Metropolitan Council (BMC) collectively tracks and reports on the building permit activity for the seven counties in their region (Baltimore City, Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Carroll, Harford, Howard, and Queen Anne's counties), which accounts for 43% of Maryland's building activity. (It should be noted that Carroll County responded to the survey indicating they do not report building permit activity.) BMC reports on residential and nonresidential building activity for the region and for each

county using separate dashboards for each. For residential development (See Figure 2), the dashboard shows the type of units permitted, and for nonresidential development (Figure 3), the dashboard displays the cumulative permit value of new development and of alterations, additions, and repairs. However, in the Washington Council of Government (WashCOG) region, which accounts for 36% of Maryland's building activity, there is no consolidated reporting of building activity, and two of the four counties (Frederick and Montgomery) indicated they report and post online building activity. BMC is unique in the state for its development permit reporting. No other metropolitan planning council or tricounty council in Maryland provides this type of coordination and reporting on building permits.

Figure 2: Baltimore Metropolitan Council Residential Building Permit Dashboard



Non-residential Development Permit Value in the Baltimore Region Customize the chart by selection a time period, geography, and type of non-residential permit Select Geography of Interest... Display New Non-residential, Alterations/Additions/Repairs, or Hoth. Monthly Quarterly Annualty Drug slider to customize dates shown in the chart (if dates selected include partial quarters or years, data will reflect permit value for the dates selected only) Dissert! \$1,400,000,000 \$1,200,000,000 \$1,000,000,000 \$800,000,000 \$600,000,000 \$400,001,000 5200,000,000 100.0% 80.0% from Sume Quarter Prior Year 60.0% 40 ON 20.0% 0.0% 20.0% 40.0% -50.0% -80.0% 2012 2014 2015 28/36

Figure 3: Baltimore Metropolitan Council Nonresidential Building Permit Dashboard Non-Residential Development Permit Value in the Baltimore Region

#### **Conclusions and Recommendations**

Source: \$16C Building Fermir Date Statem: Local fortadictions

Given the local participation rate in Planning's building permit software survey, with 24 Maryland counties and 70 municipalities responding (accounting for 99.82% of all new housing permits processed), the following conclusions reflect the building permit operations in Maryland's jurisdictions:

- All counties use some form of building permit tracking, and 41% of the counties also provide building permit processing for all or some of the municipalities within the county.
- Most of Maryland's municipalities have some form of computerized permit tracking (either a
  dedicated specialty software or internally developed home-grown tracking using Microsoft
  Office Suite). It appears that smaller jurisdictions tend to use internally developed building
  permit tracking using Microsoft Office software or handwritten reporting.

- There is a limited number of building permit software firms (16) serving Maryland's jurisdictions, and this number may continue to decline due to consolidation in the building permit software industry. (Several jurisdictions noted their current building software firm had recently merged with another firm.)
- Except for Baltimore City and Anne Arundel County, which have internally developed permit systems, 75% of all new housing permits are processed using the top six software firms (Infor, Accela, EnerGov (Tyler Technologies), Azteca Cityworks PLL, Central Square, and CitySuite).
- Less than half of the counties and less than 20% of the municipalities post their local building permit activity online.

Based on the survey responses, Planning may want to consider the following initiatives:

- Work with BMC to better understand the coordination and building permit processing required to consolidate permit data from different local building permit systems into a regional reporting format.
- Share best practice examples of building permit reporting and facilitate the development of standard data collection and reporting practices that can be used to generate reports for state and local governments, as well as the private sector and the public to monitor growth and economic development in their community.
- Explore opportunities for coordinating and sharing local building permit data with the state
  agencies and among regional planning organizations, modeled after BMCs' development
  reporting system, to gain a better understanding of local development activity and to improve
  the accuracy of state projections of future development using near real time monitoring of
  construction activity. Through improved coordination and interpretation of local building
  permit data, a potential statewide building reporting system could be developed modeled after
  BMC's development reporting system.
- Routinely engage jurisdictions with similar software systems to identify items of shared concern
  or opportunities to educate and improve the effectiveness of using the building permit
  software.
- Maintain a contact database of building permit software experts at the local level to support the communication and coordination among jurisdictions with the same type of building permit software or to serve as a reference if a jurisdiction is considering selecting a new software firm.

#### Acknowledgement

Planning wants to thank all the local government officials that participated in the building permit software survey and for their ongoing assistance to improve the communication and coordination of planning and development information in Maryland.

## Appendix A

Survey instrument (Building Permit Software Survey - Google Forms)

\* Required

# Maryland Building Permit Software Survey

MDP survey of local governments to improve the sharing of data and technical assistance. (Should you have any questions on how to complete this survey, please email <a href="mailto:chuck.boyd@maryland.gov">chuck.boyd@maryland.gov</a> and he will address any questions or concerns you might have.)

1.	. Email address *	
2.	. 1. Person Completing Survey *	
3.	. 2. Jurisdiction? *	
4.	3. Does your jurisdiction use a building permit software system to manag and inspections? *  Mark only one oval.	e permits
	Yes No	
5.	<ul> <li>4. If a municipality, does your jurisdiction use the county permit software</li> <li>Mark only one oval.</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>	system?
	No	

6.	5. If a county, do you issue permits for municipalities using your software system?
	Mark only one oval.
	Yes
	No
7.	6. If the answer to #5 above is Yes, please indicate if all municipalities in the county
	use the building permit system or list those municipalities that use the system?
8.	7. If the answer to #5 is Yes, do you track permits and inspections for municipalities using your software system?
	Mark only one oval.
	Yes
	◯ No
9.	8. What type of building permit software system does your jurisdiction have? *
	Mark only one oval.
	Developed In house/Homegrown
	Private Vendor Purchased
	Not Applicable/Do not know
	Other:
10	O Name of Building Downsit Coffusions Customs on Vandon Name 2
10.	9. Name of Building Permit Software System or Vendor Name?

11.	10. Local contact most familiar with building permit software system? *
12.	11. Email address of contact most familiar with building permit software system? *
13.	12. Phone number of contact most familiar with building permit software system?
14.	13. Does your jurisdiction collect and publish a report on building permit activity?  Mark only one oval.  Yes  No
15.	14. If answer to #13 is "Yes", do you post the reports online?  Mark only one oval.  Yes  No

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

## Appendix B

Individual Survey Responses

(Contact the Maryland Department of Planning for email addresses of survey participants and local building permit software contacts)

Place	Co.	Muni	Muni Rank	Bldg Permit Rank	% Bldg Permit Issued	1. Person Completing Survey	3. Does your jurisdiction use a building permit software system to manage permits and inspections?	County Issues Building Permit & Uses Software	Municipality Directly Issues Permit & Uses Software	4. If a municipality, does your jurisdiction use the county permit software system?	you issue permits for municipalities using your software system?	6. If the answer to #5 above is Yes, please indicate if all municipalities in the county use the building permit system or list those municipalities that use the system?	County issues permits and inspections for municipalities
Allegany County	Y	.,		57	0.16%	Jeffrey Barclay	Yes	Yes	.,	l	No		
Cumberland city Frostburg city		Y	12 26	80 65	0.01% 0.06%	Kevin Thacker L.J. Bennett	Yes Yes		Yes Yes	No No			
Anne Arundel County	Υ			3	13.28%	Rick Fisher	Yes	Yes		No	No	N/A	
Annapolis city		Υ	7	31	0.78%	Sally Nash	Yes		Yes	No			
Baltimore city	Υ		1	11	5.35%	Sara Paranilam	Yes	Yes			N/A		
Baltimore County	Y			9	8.20%	John Morris	Yes	Yes			N/A		
Calvert County North Beach town	Y	Y	73	22	1.60%	Mary Beth Cook Richard Crump	Yes No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Chesapeake Beach and North Beach	Yes
Caroline County	Υ			49	0.22%	Katheleen Freeman	Yes	Yes			No		
Denton town Greensboro town Ridgely town		Y Y Y	48 75 79	63 83 72	0.09% 0.01% 0.02%	Don Mulrine Wendy Dixon Melissa Leonard	Yes Yes Yes		Yes Yes Yes	No No			
Carroll County Manchester town Sykesville town Taneytown city Westminster city	Y	Y Y Y	44 51 31 13	19	1.95%	Lynda Eisenberg Michelle Wilder Joe Cosentini Jim Wieprecht Mark Depo	Yes No No Yes No	Yes	No No No No	Yes Yes Yes Yes	Yes	All towns in county	Yes Yes Yes Yes
Cecil County Elkton town North East town Perryville town Rising Sun town	Y	Y Y Y	18 55 49 63	<b>30</b> 67	0.83% 0.05% 0.00%	Eric Sennstrom Micki Zeigler Betsy Vennell Dianna Battaglia Judy C Melton	Yes Yes Yes No Yes	Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No	Yes	Cecilton, Charlestown, Chesapeake City, North East, Perryville, Port Deposit	Yes Yes
Charles County Indian Head town La Plata town	Y	Y Y	53 23	14 82 39	4.51% 0.01% 0.37%	Cathy Reisinger Diane Campbell Jeanine Harrington	Yes Yes Yes	Yes	Yes Yes	No No	No		
Dorchester County Cambridge city East New Market town Galestown town	Y	Y Y Y	21 130 149	<b>54</b> 62	0.17% 0.10%	Rhonda Mills Patricia Escher Michelle Jackson Ron James	Yes Yes No No	Yes	Yes No No	No No Yes	Yes	Vienna, Brookview, Eldorado, Galestown, Church Creek	Yes Yes

Place	Co.	Muni	Muni Rank	Bldg Permit Rank	% Bldg Permit Issued	1. Person Completing Survey	3. Does your jurisdiction use a building permit software system to manage permits and inspections?	County Issues Building Permit & Uses Software	Municipality Directly Issues Permit & Uses Software	4. If a municipality, does your jurisdiction use the county permit software system?	you issue permits for municipalities using your	6. If the answer to #5 above is Yes, please indicate if all municipalities in the county use the building permit system or list those municipalities that use the system?	County issues permits and inspections for municipalities
Frederick County Brunswick city Burkittsville town Emmitsburg town Frederick city Middletown town Myersville town Thurmont town Walkersville town	<b>Y</b>	Y Y Y Y Y Y	32 144 60 2 45 76 30 34	<b>10</b>	7.64% 2.78%	Gary Hessong Bruce Dell Jayme Marshall Zach Gulden Joe Adkins Cindy Unangst Brandon Boldyga Rebecca Long Susan Hauver	Yes	Yes	No No No Yes No No No	Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes	Yes	Frederick County uses our permits software for the following municipalities: Middletown, Myersville, New Market, Brunswick, Thurmont, Emittsburg, Walkersville, Woodsboro, Burkitsville and Rosemeont.	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
Garrett County  Mountain Lake Park town	Υ	Y	70	33	0.60%	Chad Fike Lenora Fischetti	Yes No	Yes	No	No	Yes	County system is used for all building permits	Yes
Harford County Aberdeen city Bel Air town Havre de Grace city	Y	Y Y Y	17 22 19	17 35 56 34	4.07% 0.42% 0.16% 0.47%	David Culver Phyllis Grover Kevin Small Shane P. Grimm	Yes Yes Yes Yes	Yes	Yes Yes Yes	Yes No Yes	No		Yes Yes Yes
Howard County	Y			7	8.90%	Bob Frances	Yes	Yes			N/A	Howard County has no incorporated municipalities within its borders	
Kent County Rock Hall town	Υ	Y	89	<b>59</b> 74	0.15% 0.02%	Maria Grieb Robert Resele	Yes No	Yes	No	No	No		
Montgomery County Chevy Chase Section Five village Chevy Chase Village town Gaithersburg city Kensington town North Chevy Chase village Rockville city Takoma Park city	Y	Y Y Y Y Y	110 71 4 68 119 3	6 32 20	11.88% 0.63% 1.85%	Mark Pfefferle Ashley Kavanaugh Jessica Gebhart W. Wesley Burnette Matthew Hoffman Dana Peterson Kelly Mendelsohn Rosalind Grigsby	Yes No No Yes No No No No Yes No	Yes	No No Yes No No Yes No	No No No No No Yes	Yes	The independent areas of Montgomery County and cities and towns where the Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services issues building permits such as the the Chevy Chases, Garrett Park, Takoma Park, Martha's Addition, Somerset	Yes Yes Yes Yes
Prince George's County Bowie city Brentwood town Cheverly town College Park city District Heights city Forest Heights town Greenbelt city Landover Hills town Laurel city Mount Rainier city Riverdale Park town Seat Pleasant city University Park town Upper Marlboro town	Y	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	5 57 33 9 38 66 11 80 10 27 28 46 65 113	<b>5</b> 58	11.95% 0.15%	Melinda Bolling Joseph M. Meinert Martha Nichols John C. O'Berry Bill Gardiner Dr. Sharla Crutchfield Joseph Hawkins Terri Heuby Kathleen Tavel Christian Pulley Alma Ferrufino Jessica Barnes Supervisor J. Johnson Andrea Marcavtich Kyle Snyder	Yes Yes No No Yes No Yes Yes Yes No Yes No Yes No Yos Yes No Yos No No	Yes	Yes No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yos No No No	No	Yes		Yes

Place	Co.	Muni	Muni Rank	Bldg Permit Rank	% Bldg Permit Issued	1. Person Completing Survey	3. Does your jurisdiction use a building permit software system to manage permits and inspections?	County Issues Building Permit & Uses Software	Municipality Directly Issues Permit & Uses Software	4. If a municipality, does your jurisdiction use the county permit software system?	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	6. If the answer to #5 above is Yes, please indicate if all municipalities in the county use the building permit system or list those municipalities that use the system?	County issues permits and inspections for municipalities
Queen Anne's County	Υ			26	1.02%	Vivian J. Swinson	Yes	Yes			No		
Centreville town		Y	42	52	0.20%	Carolyn Rinkley	Yes		Yes	No			
St. Mary's County	Y			16	4.13%	Harry Knight	Yes	Yes			No		
Leonardtown town		Y	52	43	0.28%	Jada Stuckert	No		No	No			
Somerset County Princess Anne town	Y	Υ	56	<b>49</b> 55	0.22% 0.17%	Mary Phillips Nelson Sheppard	Yes No	Yes	No	No	No		
Talbot County	Υ			60	0.12%	Mary Kay Verdery	Yes	Yes			No		
Easton town		Υ	16	47	0.24%	Lynn Thomas	Yes		Yes	No			
Washington County	Υ			25	1.21%	Becky Gander	Yes	Yes			Yes	We issue the permits for the	
Boonsboro town		Y	54	61	0.11%	Reiley Stanley	No		No	No		municipalities but they do not have access to the building	Yes
Hagerstown city Williamsport town		Y Y	6 69	46 100	0.25% 0.00%	Kathleen A. Maher Linda Kuczynski	Yes No		Yes No	No No		permit system	Yes
Wicomico County	Y			37	0.40%	Rick Dwyer	Yes	Yes			Yes	Wicomico County, through an MOU, performs the issuance,	
Delmar town		Υ	58	51	0.20%	Liz Peek	Yes		Yes	No		tracking, and inspections for the	
Fruitland city		Υ	39	63	0.09%	Marc Henderson	Yes		Yes	No		Towns of Hebron and Pittsville in exchange for retaining the	
Hebron town		Υ	95			Melinda Stafford	Yes		Yes	No		revenue generated from the building permit fees and	Yes
Salisbury city		Υ	8	41	0.30%	Bill Holland	Yes		Yes	No		therefore, MUNIS software is	
Willards town		Y	98	73	0.02%	Robin Littleton	No		No	No		used by the County for the issuance of permits for the Town of Hebron and Town of Pittsville. The City of Salisbury (independent of County permits division) uses MUNIS software system.	
Worcester County Berlin town	Y	Υ	43	<b>28</b> 44	0.88% 0.27%	Jennifer Keener Dave Engelhart	Yes Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No		
Ocean City town		Ϋ́	29	45	0.27%	Jacob Doub	Yes		Yes	No No			
Pocomoke City city		Υ	50	66	0.05%	Daniel L. Brandewie	Yes		Yes	No			
Snow Hill town		Υ	72	76	0.01%	Margot Resto	Yes		Yes	No			

		-	1		1	1
Place	7. If the answer to #5 is Yes, do you track permits and inspections for municipalities using your software system?	8. What type of building permit software system does your jurisdiction have?	9. Name of Building Permit Software System or Vendor Name?	Vendor Websites	10. Local contact most familiar with building permit software system?	12. Phone number of contact most familiar with building permit software system?
Allegany County		Developed In house/Homegrown	Microsoft Access		Jim Squires	301-777-5951
Cumberland city Frostburg city		Private Vendor Purchased Developed In house/Homegrown	CitizenServe Microsoft Excel	https://www.citizenserve.com/	Kevin Thacker L.J. Bennett or Bethany Fife	301-759-6659 301-689-6000, X 105 or 110
Anne Arundel County	No	Developed In house/Homegrown	PIPs/Case Manager		Jay Lechinski or Rick Fisher	410-222-7726 or 3295
Annapolis city		Private Vendor Purchased	Traklt/Central Square	https://www.centralsquare.com/	Kevin Scott	410-260-2200
Baltimore city		Developed In house/Homegrown	CCE and E-plans		Shu-Shen Chia	410-396-5980
Baltimore County		Developed in house/Homegrown	Currently, IBM Host on Demand Mainframe,migrating to Azteca Cityworks PLL after 09/2021	https://www.cityworks.com/products/cityworks.pll/	Eric Tenney	410-887-4491
Calvert County	Yes	Private Vendor Purchased	Infor (IPS)	https://www.infor.com/industries/state-local-	Dawn Mister	410-535-1600 x2386
North Beach town		Developed in house/Homegrown		government	Richard Crump	443-646-4245
Caroline County		Private Vendor Purchased	LAMA (Davenport)	https://www.davenportgroup.us/	Crystal Dadds	410-479-8100
Denton town Greensboro town Ridgely town	Yes	Developed In house/Homegrown Private Vendor Purchased Developed In house/Homegrown	iWorks	https://iworkscorp.com/	Don Mulrine Sam Gieb Melissa Leonard	410-479-2050 410-482-6222 410-634-2177
Carroll County  Manchester town Sykesville town Taneytown city Westminster city		Private Vendor Purchased Developed In house/Homegrown Not Applicable/Do not know Private Vendor Purchased Not Applicable/Do not know	Accela GovQA	https://www.accela.com/ https://www.govqa.com/	Laura Matyas Michelle Wilder Joe Cosentini Jim Wieprecht Carroll Co. Permits & Inspection	410-386-2722 410-795-8959 410-751-1100 x 107 410-386-2674
Cecil County	Yes	Private Vendor Purchased	Infor (Version 11)	https://www.infor.com/industries/state-local-	Brian F. Miller	410-996-5205
Elkton town North East town		Private Vendor Purchased Private Vendor Purchased	Hi5s Edmunds	government https://www.hi5s.com/ https://www.edmundsgovtech.com/	Micki Zeigler Lisa Rhoades	410-398-4999 410-287-5801
Perryville town Rising Sun town		Not Applicable/Do not know Developed In house/Homegrown	Microsoft Excel		Cecil County Government Judy C Melton	410-658-5353
Charles County		Private Vendor Purchased	EnerGov (Tyler Technologies)	https://www.tylertech.com/products/energov/community-development	Sarah Sandy	301-848-2120
Indian Head town La Plata town		Not Applicable/Do not know Private Vendor Purchased	EnerGov (Tyler Technologies)	https://www.tylertech.com/products/energov/community-development	Diane Campbell Jeanine Harrington	301-743-5511 301-363-0742
Dorchester County	Yes	Private Vendor Purchased	Edmunds	https://www.edmundsgovtech.com/	Rhonda Mills	410-228-3234
Cambridge city		Private Vendor Purchased	Comcate	https://www.comcate.com/solutions/permitting	Todd Parks	410-228-4020
East New Market town Galestown town		Not Applicable/Do not know Not Applicable/Do not know		and-inspections/	Rhonda Mills Mayor Ron James	410-228-9636 410-829-6308

			1	I	1	1
Place	7. If the answer to #5 is Yes, do you track permits and inspections for municipalities using your software system?	8. What type of building permit software system does your jurisdiction have?	9. Name of Building Permit Software System or Vendor Name?	Vendor Websites	10. Local contact most familiar with building permit software system?	12. Phone number of contact most familiar with building permit software system?
Frederick County	Yes	Private Vendor Purchased	Infor (IPS with Rhythm for Civis	https://www.infor.com/industries/state-local-	Ashlye Bonomo	301-600-3463
Brunswick city Burkittsville town Emmitsburg town Frederick city Middletown town Myersville town Thurmont town Walkersville town	Yes	Not Applicable/Do not know Not Applicable/Do not know Not Applicable/Do not know Private Vendor Purchased Not Applicable/Do not know Not Applicable/Do not know Not Applicable/Do not know Not Applicable/Do not know	public portal platform)  CitySuite	government  https://harriserp.com/solutions/citysuite/	Ashley Bonomo N/A Emily Pearl Matt Bowman Mark Hinkle Frederick County Frederick County Susan Hauver	301-728-2631 301-371-6171 301-600-2313 301-600-2313 301-845-4500
Garrett County	Yes	Developed In house/Homegrown	Microsoft Access		Chad Fike	301-334-1922
Mountain Lake Park town		Not Applicable/Do not know			N/A	N/A
Harford County		Private Vendor Purchased	EnerGov (Tyler Technologies)	https://www.tylertech.com/products/energov/community-development https://www.tylertech.com/products/energov/c	Steve Smith	410-638-3213 ext. 1209
Aberdeen city	Yes	Private Vendor Purchased	EnerGov (Tyler Technologies)	ommunity-development	Richard Truitt	410-638-3366
Bel Air town Havre de Grace city	Yes	Private Vendor Purchased Private Vendor Purchased	WebQA Edmunds	https://www.govqa.com/ https://www.edmundsgovtech.com/	Kathy Pearce George DeHority	410-638-4540 410-939-1800
Howard County		Private Vendor Purchased	Accela	https://www.accela.com/	Chris Walker or Mark Von Wald	410-313-2433
Kent County Rock Hall town	No	Private Vendor Purchased Not Applicable/Do not know	Central Square	https://www.centralsquare.com/	Maria Grieb N/A	410-778-7423 N/A
Montgomery County	Yes	Private Vendor Purchased	Infor (Hansen)	https://www.infor.com/industries/state-local- government	Ehsan Motazedi	240-777-0311
Chevy Chase Section Five village Chevy Chase Village town		Not Applicable/Do not know Developed In house/Homegrown	Microsoft Excel		Ashley Kavanaugh Jessica Gebhart	301-986-5481 301-654-7300
Gaithersburg city		Private Vendor Purchased	EnerGov (Tyler Technologies)	https://www.tylertech.com/products/energov/community-development	Bobbi Fulmer	240-805-1070
Kensington town North Chevy Chase village		Not Applicable/Do not know Not Applicable/Do not know		Onlinding-development	Matthew Hoffman N/A	301-949-2424
Rockville city Takoma Park city		Private Vendor Purchased Not Applicable/Do not know	Permit Plan (Tidemark) now owned by	https://www.accela.com/	Kelly Mendelsohn N/A	240-314-8241 N/A
Prince George's County		Private Vendor Purchased	Infor	https://www.infor.com/industries/state-local- government	Yvette Gayles	301-883-6171
Bowie city Brentwood town Cheverly town College Park city District Heights city Forest Heights town Greenbelt city Landover Hills town Laurel city Mount Rainier city	No Yes	Developed In house/Homegrown Developed In house/Homegrown Not Applicable/Do not know Private Vendor Purchased Not Applicable/Do not know Private Vendor Purchased Private Vendor Purchased Not Applicable/Do not know Private Vendor Purchased Private Vendor Purchased	Microsoft Access  Accela  GovQA  Utopia  EnerGov (Tyler Technologies)  Comcate	https://www.accela.com/ https://www.govga.com/ http://perconti.com/commdev.html https://www.tylertech.com/products/energov/c ommunity-development https://www.comcate.com/solutions/permitting and-inspections/	Jeffrey Hughes Town clerk John O'Berry Sarah Price Michelle Watkins Joseph Hawkins Terri Hruby N/A Lisa Anson Alma Ferrufino	301-809-3052 301-927-3344 240-770-7647 240-487-3501 301-336-1400 301-839-4040 301-345-5417 301-773-6401 301-725-5300 301-458-5103
Riverdale Park town Seat Pleasant city University Park town Upper Marlboro town		Not Applicable/Do not know Private Vendor Purchased Developed In house/Homegrown Not Applicable/Do not know	GovQA Microsoft Excel	https://www.govga.com/	Jessica Barnes Supervisor J. Johnson Andrea Marcavitch Kyle Snyder	301-927-6381 ext 505 240-353-4793 301-927-4262 ext 101 301-627-6905

Place	7. If the answer to #5 is Yes, do you track permits and inspections for municipalities using your software system?	What type of building permit software system does your jurisdiction have?	9. Name of Building Permit Software System or Vendor Name?	Vendor Websites	10. Local contact most familiar with building permit software system?	12. Phone number of contact most familiar with building permit software system?
Queen Anne's County	No	Private Vendor Purchased	EnerGov (Tyler Technologies)	https://www.tylertech.com/products/energov/community-development	Vivian Swinson	410-758-4088
Centreville town		Private Vendor Purchased	Accufund	https://accufund.com/government-accounting	Betty Jean Hall	410-758-1180
St. Mary's County		Private Vendor Purchased	Central Square	https://www.centralsquare.com/	Harry Knight	301-475-4200 ext. 71560
Leonardtown town		Developed In house/Homegrown	Microsoft Excel		Jada Stuckert	301-475-9791
Somerset County Princess Anne town		Private Vendor Purchased Not Applicable/Do not know	Edmunds		Mary Phillips N/A	410-651-1424 N/A
Talbot County		Private Vendor Purchased	MUNIS (Tyler Technologies)	https://www.tylertech.com/products/munis	Jason Willey	410-770-6833
Easton town		Private Vendor Purchased	Central Square	https://www.centralsquare.com/	Ryan Heckler	410-822-2526
Washington County	Yes	Private Vendor Purchased	Accela Automation	https://www.accela.com/	Becky Gander	240-313-2466
Boonsboro town Hagerstown city Williamsport town		Not Applicable/Do not know Private Vendor Purchased Not Applicable/Do not know	MUNIS (Tyler Technologies)		Reiley Stanley Mary Reichert Linda Kuczynski	301-432-5690 301-739-8577, ext. 173
Wicomico County	Yes	Private Vendor Purchased	MUNIS (Tyler Technologies)	https://www.tylertech.com/products/munis	Rick Dwyer	410-548-4810
Delmar town		Private Vendor Purchased	Edmunds	https://www.edmundsgovtech.com/	Liz Peek	410-896-2777 ext. 104
Fruitland city		Private Vendor Purchased	COMCATE (Comcate & Newly impler	https://www.comcate.com/solutions/permitting- and-inspections/	Marc Henderson	410-548-2809
Hebron town		Private Vendor Purchased	Accufund	https://accufund.com/government-accounting	Melinda Stafford	410-742-5555
Salisbury city	No	Private Vendor Purchased	MUNIS (Tyler Technologies)	https://www.tylertech.com/products/munis	Beverly Tull	410-548-3130
Willards town		Developed In house/Homegrown			Robin Littleton	410-835-8192
Worcester County Berlin town Ocean City town		Developed In house/Homegrown Developed In house/Homegrown Private Vendor Purchased	Central Square	https://www.centralsquare.com/	Jennifer Keener Carolyn Duffy Karen Gunzelman	410-632-1200 x 1123 410-629-1483 410-289-5701
Pocomoke City city		Private Vendor Purchased	EnerGov (Tyler Technologies)	https://www.tylertech.com/products/energov/community-development	Daniel Brandewie	410-957-1633
Snow Hill town		Developed In house/Homegrown	Microsoft Excel		Margot Resto	410-632-2080

Place	13. Does your jurisdiction collect and publish a report on building permit activity?	County Report- ing	Muni- cipal Report- ing	14. If answer to #13 is "Yes", do you post the reports online?	County Report- ing Online	Muni- cipal Report- ing Online
Allegany County Cumberland city	Yes No	Yes	No	No No	No	No
Frostburg city	Yes		Yes	No		No
Anne Arundel County	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Annapolis city	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes
Baltimore city	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Baltimore County	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Calvert County	Yes	Yes		No	No	
North Beach town	No		No	No		No
Caroline County	No	No		No	No	
Denton town Greensboro town Ridgely town	Yes No		Yes No No	No		No No No
Carroll County	No	No		No	No	
Manchester town Sykesville town Taneytown city Westminster city	No No No Yes		No No No Yes	Yes		No No No Yes
Cecil County	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Elkton town North East town Perryville town Rising Sun town	Yes Yes Yes No		Yes Yes Yes No	No Yes No No		No Yes No No
Charles County	Yes	Yes		No	No	
Indian Head town La Plata town	Yes Yes		Yes Yes	No Yes		No Yes
Dorchester County	Yes	Yes		No	No	
Cambridge city East New Market town Galestown town	No No No		No No No	No		No No No

Place	13. Does your jurisdiction collect and publish a report on building permit activity?	County Report- ing	Muni- cipal Report- ing	14. If answer to #13 is "Yes", do you post the reports online?	County Report- ing Online	Muni- cipal Report- ing Online
Frederick County	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Brunswick city Burkittsville town Emmitsburg town Frederick city Middletown town Myersville town Thurmont town Walkersville town	Yes No No No Yes Yes No		Yes No No No Yes Yes No No	No No Yes Yes No		No No No Yes Yes No No
Garrett County Mountain Lake Park town	Yes Yes	Yes	Yes	No No	No	No
Harford County	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Aberdeen city	Yes		Yes	No		No
Bel Air town	Yes		Yes	No		No
Havre de Grace city	No		No			No
Howard County	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Kent County Rock Hall town	Yes No	Yes	No	No No	No	No
Montgomery County	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Chevy Chase Section Five village Chevy Chase Village town	Yes Yes		Yes Yes	Yes No		Yes No
Gaithersburg city	Yes		Yes	No		No
Kensington town	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes
North Chevy Chase village Rockville city	Yes Yes		Yes Yes	Yes Yes		Yes Yes
Takoma Park city	No		No	163		No
Prince George's County	No	No		No	No	
Bowie city	Yes		Yes	No		No
Brentwood town	No		No			No
Cheverly town	No		No			No
College Park city	No		No	<b>.</b> .		No
District Heights city Forest Heights town	Yes Yes		Yes Yes	No Yes		No Yes
Greenbelt city	No		No	103		No
Landover Hills town	No		No			No
Laurel city	Yes		Yes	No		No
Mount Rainier city	No		No	No		No
Riverdale Park town	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes
Seat Pleasant city	No		No			No
University Park town Upper Marlboro town	No No		No No			No No
Opper Mariboro town	INU		INU			NO

Place	13. Does your jurisdiction collect and publish a report on building permit activity?	County Report- ing	Muni- cipal Report- ing	14. If answer to #13 is "Yes", do you post the reports online?	County Report- ing Online	Muni- cipal Report- ing Online
Queen Anne's County	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Centreville town	Yes		Yes	No		No
St. Mary's County Leonardtown town	No Yes	No	Yes	No No	No	No
Somerset County Princess Anne town	Yes No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Talbot County Easton town	Yes Yes	Yes	Yes	No No	No	No
Washington County Boonsboro town Hagerstown city Williamsport town	Yes Yes Yes	Yes	No Yes Yes	Yes No No No	Yes	No No No
Wicomico County	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Delmar town	No		No	No		No
Fruitland city	No		No	No		No
Hebron town	No		No	No		No
Salisbury city	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes
Willards town	No		No	No		No
Worcester County Berlin town	Yes Yes	Yes	Yes	No No	No	No
Ocean City town	Yes		Yes	No		No
Pocomoke City city	Yes		Yes	No		No
Snow Hill town	No		No	No		No

## **Appendix C**

2014-2019 Six Year Annual Average of building permits by Maryland jurisdictions using the US Census Building Permits Survey

2014-2019 Average

			JAL AVERA THORIZED		
	State, Jurisdiction, Permit Issuing Place	Buildings	Total Units	Rank	Units as Percent of State
2	MARYLAND	11,892	17,299		
5	ALLEGANY Allegany County Unincorporated Area	25 21	39 27	48 57	0.22% 0.16%
7 8	Barton Cumberland Frostburg Lonaconing	0 2 2 0	0 2 10 0	93 80 65 93	0.00% 0.01% 0.06% 0.00%
10 11	Luke Midland Westernport	0 -	0 -	93 100 100	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
13 14	ANNE ARUNDEL Annapolis	1,781 64	2,432 135	2 31	14.06% 0.78%
17 18	Anne Arundel County Unincorporated Area  BALTIMORE CITY	1,717 200	2,297 925	3 11	13.28% 5.35%
21	BALTIMORE COUNTY	875	1,418	9	8.20%
23	CAPOLINE	237	276	22	1.60%
25 26	<b>CAROLINE</b> Caroline County Unincorporated Area Denton	53 38 11	58 38 16	40 49 63	0.33% 0.22% 0.09%
28	Federalsburg Goldsboro Greensboro	0 0 1	0 0 1	91 93 83	0.00% 0.00% 0.01%
31	Henderson Hillsboro Marydel	- - -	- -	100 100 100	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
33	Preston Ridgely	3	3	100 72	0.00% 0.02%
	CARROLL	305	337	19	1.95%

38 CECIL		130	151	29	0.87%
	nincorporated Area	122	143	30	0.83%
40 Elkton	•	8	8	67	0.05%
41 Rising Sun		-	-	100	0.00%
42				100	0.0070
43 CHARLES		767	844	13	4.88%
	y Unincorporated Area	707	780	14	4.51%
45 Indian Head	y offineor poraced Area	1	1	82	0.01%
46 La Plata		64	64	39	0.37%
40 La Fiata 47		04	04	39	0.57%
		42	40	42	0.2007
48 DORCHESTER	•	43	49	42	0.28%
49 Cambridge		10	17	62	0.10%
	unty Unincorporated Area	30	30	54	0.17%
51 Hurlock		2	2	78	0.01%
52 Secretary		1	1	83	0.01%
53					
54 <b>FREDERICK</b>		1,243	1,803	7	10.42%
55 Frederick		223	481	18	2.78%
56 Frederick Cou	nty Unincorporated Area	1,021	1,322	10	7.64%
57					
58 <b>GARRETT</b>		100	103	33	0.60%
59					
60 <b>HARFORD</b>		672	885	12	5.11%
61 Aberdeen		30	72	35	0.42%
62 Bel Air		17	28	56	0.16%
	y Unincorporated Area	545	704	17	4.07%
64 Havre de Grace		80	81	34	0.47%
65					011170
66 <b>HOWARD</b>		926	1,540	8	8.90%
67		720	1,510	Ü	0.5070
68 <b>KENT</b>		32	32	53	0.19%
69 Betterton		1	1	89	0.00%
70 Chestertown		3	3	74	0.02%
70 Galena		1	1	87	0.00%
	nincorporated Area	26	26	59	0.15%
72 Kent County 0	iiiicoi poi ateu Ai ea	20	20	100	
		- 2	3		0.00%
74 Rock Hall		3	3	74	0.02%
75	·\$7	1 105	2.402	1	4.4.250/
76 MONTGOMER	XY	1,195	2,483	1	14.35%
77 Gaithersburg		84	108	32	0.63%
	ounty Unincorporated Area	1,081	2,055	6	11.88%
79 Rockville		30	320	20	1.85%
80					
81 PRINCE GEOR	GE'S	1,709	2,094	4	12.10%
82 Laurel		22	26	58	0.15%
	s County Unincorporated Area	1,688	2,068	5	11.95%
84					

85 QUEEN ANNE'S	188	218	24	1.26%
86 Barclay	100	210	100	0.00%
87 Centreville	25	35	52	0.20%
88 Church Hill	1	2	77	0.01%
89 Queen Anne	0	0	91	0.00%
90 Queen Annes County Unincorporated Area	158	176	26	1.02%
91 Queenstown	4	4	70	0.02%
92 Sudlersville	1	1	83	0.01%
93 Templeville	0	0	93	0.00%
94	v	ŭ	, ,	0.0070
95 ST. MARY'S	740	763	15	4.41%
96 Leonardtown	45	49	43	0.28%
97 St. Marys County Unincorporated Area	695	715	16	4.13%
98				- , ,
99 <b>SOMERSET</b>	32	71	36	0.41%
100 Crisfield	3	3	71	0.02%
101 Princess Anne	6	30	55	0.17%
102 Somerset County Unincorporated Area	23	38	49	0.22%
103				
104 TALBOT	67	70	37	0.40%
105 Easton	38	41	47	0.24%
106 Oxford	1	1	86	0.00%
107 St. Michaels	5	5	68	0.03%
108 Talbot County Unincorporated Area	22	22	60	0.12%
109 Trappe	2	2	78	0.01%
110				
111 WASHINGTON	238	277	21	1.60%
112 Boonsboro	18	18	61	0.11%
113 Clear Spring	1	1	87	0.00%
114 Funkstown	-	-	100	0.00%
115 Hagerstown	31	43	46	0.25%
116 Hancock	1	1	89	0.00%
117 Keedysville	4	4	69	0.02%
118 Sharpsburg	0	0	93	0.00%
119 Smithsburg	0	0	93	0.00%
120 Washington County Unincorporated Area	183	209	25	1.21%
121 Williamsport	-	-	100	0.00%
122				
123 WICOMICO	141	176	26	1.02%
124 Delmar	35	35	51	0.20%
125 Fruitland	13	16	63	0.09%
126 Salisbury	20	53	41	0.30%
127 Sharptown	1	1	81	0.01%
128 Wicomico County Unincorporated Area	70	70	37	0.40%
129 Willards	3	3	73	0.02%
130	400	0=1	22	4.4007
131 WORCESTER	196	256	23	1.48%
132 Berlin	17	47	44	0.27%
133 Ocean City	34	45	45	0.26%
134 Pocomoke City	9	9	66 76	0.05%
135 Snow Hill	2	2 152	76	0.01%
136 Worcester County Unincorporated Area	135	153	28	0.88%

2019

		TOTAL NEW AUTHORIZED HOUSING UNITS				
	State, Jurisdiction, Permit Issuing Place	Buildings	Total Units	Units as Percent of		
				State		
1 2	MARYLAND	12,273	18,491	100.00%		
3 4	ALLEGANY	27	65	0.35%		
5	Allegany County Unincorporated Area	21	59	0.33%		
_	Barton	-	-	0.00%		
7	Cumberland	_	-	0.00%		
8	Frostburg	6	6	0.03%		
9	Lonaconing	-	-	0.00%		
10	Luke	-	-	0.00%		
11	Midland	-	-	0.00%		
12	Westernport	-	-	0.00%		
13						
14	ANNE ARUNDEL	1,784	2,650	14.33%		
	Annapolis	42	241	1.30%		
	Anne Arundel County Unincorporated Area	1,742	2,409	13.03%		
17						
	BALTIMORE CITY	149	510	2.76%		
19	DALEWAODE COUNTY	<b>5</b> 00	4.445	<b>5</b> 6604		
	BALTIMORE COUNTY	793	1,417	7.66%		
21	CALVERT	105	420	2 220/		
22 23	CALVERI	195	430	2.33%		
	CAROLINE	65	65	0.35%		
25		43	43	0.33%		
	Denton	17	17	0.25%		
	Federalsburg	1	1	0.01%		
	Goldsboro	1	1	0.01%		
	Greensboro	2	2	0.01%		
	Henderson	-	-	0.00%		
	Hillsboro	-	-	0.00%		
	Marydel	-	-	0.00%		
33	Preston	-	-	0.00%		
34	Ridgely	1	1	0.01%		
35						
	CARROLL	331	342	1.85%		
37						

38 CECIL	188	188	1.02%	
39 Cecil County Unincorporated Area	177	177	0.96%	
40 Elkton	11	11	0.06%	
41 Rising Sun	-	-	0.00%	
42			0.0070	
43 CHARLES	702	776	4.20%	
44 Charles County Unincorporated Area	611	685	3.70%	
45 Indian Head	3	3	0.02%	
46 La Plata	88	88	0.48%	
47				
48 DORCHESTER	54	54	0.29%	
49 Cambridge	15	15	0.08%	
50 Dorchester County Unincorporated Area	36	36	0.19%	
51 Hurlock	3	3	0.02%	
52 Secretary	-	-	0.00%	
53	-	-	0.00%	
54 FREDERICK	1,724	2,407	13.02%	
55 Frederick	287	515	2.79%	
56 Frederick County Unincorporated Area	1,437	1,892	10.23%	
57				
58 GARRETT	91	107	0.58%	
59				
60 HARFORD	816	930	5.03%	
61 Aberdeen	9	9	0.05%	
62 Bel Air	48	93	0.50%	
63 Harford County Unincorporated Area	679	742	4.01%	
64 Havre de Grace	80	86	0.47%	
65				
66 <b>HOWARD</b>	763	779	4.21%	
67				
68 KENT	25	25	0.14%	
69 Betterton	-	-	0.00%	
70 Chestertown	1	1	0.01%	
71 Galena	-	-	0.00%	
72 Kent County Unincorporated Area	24	24	0.13%	
73 Millington	-	-	0.00%	
74 Rock Hall	-	-	0.00%	
75 76 MONTECOMERY	755	2 225	17 440/	
76 MONTGOMERY 77 Gaithersburg	755 4	3,225 66	17.44%	
77 Gainlersburg 78 Montgomery County Unincorporated Area			0.36%	
78 Montgomery County Officer porated Area 79 Rockville	673 78	2,414 745	13.05%	
80	70	743	4.03%	
81 PRINCE GEORGE'S	2,120	2,569	13.89%	
82 Laurel	33	40	0.22%	
83 Prince Georges County Unincorporated Area	2,087	2,529	13.68%	
84	2,007	4,347	13.00 /0	
UT				

85	QUEEN ANNE'S	248	339	1.83%
86	Barclay	-	-	0.00%
87	Centreville	3	3	0.02%
88	Church Hill	-	-	0.00%
89	Queen Anne	-	-	0.00%
90	Queen Annes County Unincorporated Area	236	327	1.77%
	Queenstown	3	3	0.02%
	Sudlersville	6	6	0.03%
	Templeville	-	-	0.00%
94	•			
	ST. MARY'S	625	689	3.73%
96	Leonardtown	57	57	0.31%
97	St. Marys County Unincorporated Area	568	632	3.42%
98	1			
99	SOMERSET	25	25	0.14%
	Crisfield	1	1	0.01%
	Princess Anne	9	9	0.05%
	Somerset County Unincorporated Area	15	15	0.08%
103	omersed downey emineer per accuration	10	10	0.0070
	TALBOT	69	70	0.38%
	Easton	44	44	0.24%
	Oxford	-	-	0.00%
	St. Michaels	3	3	0.02%
	Talbot County Unincorporated Area	19	19	0.10%
	Trappe	3	4	0.02%
110	Парре	3	1	0.0270
	WASHINGTON	246	247	1.34%
	Boonsboro	9	9	0.05%
	Clear Spring	-	-	0.00%
	Funkstown	_	_	0.00%
	Hagerstown	41	41	0.22%
	Hancock	-	-	0.00%
	Keedysville	_	_	0.00%
	Sharpsburg	_	_	0.00%
	Smithsburg	1	1	0.00%
			196	1.06%
	Washington County Unincorporated Area Williamsport	195	190	0.00%
121	williamsport	-	-	0.0070
	WICOMICO	232	266	1.44%
	Delmar	111	111	0.60%
	Fruitland	12	12	0.06%
	Salisbury	38	72	0.39%
	Sharptown	36 4	4	0.39%
	Wicomico County Unincorporated Area	65	65	0.35%
	Willards	2	2	
	willarus	2	2	0.01%
130	WORCESTER	246	316	1.71%
		246 11		
	Berlin	11 49	57 61	0.31%
	Ocean City		61	0.33%
	Pocomoke City	16	17	0.09%
	Snow Hill	4	4	0.02%
136	Worcester County Unincorporated Area	166	177	0.96%

2018

	TOTAL NEW AUTHORIZED HOUSING UNITS			
State, Jurisdiction, Permit Issuing Place	Buildings	Total	Units as Percent of	
		Units	State	
1 MARYLAND	13,120	18,647	100%	
2	-	-	0%	
3	-	-	0%	
4 ALLEGANY	30	30	0%	
5 Allegany County Unincorporated Area	27	27	0%	
6 Barton	-	-	0%	
7 Cumberland	2	2	0%	
8 Frostburg	1	1	0%	
9 Lonaconing	-	-	0%	
10 Luke	-	-	0%	
11 Midland	-	-	0%	
12 Westernport	-	-	0%	
13	-	-	0%	
14 ANNE ARUNDEL	2,041	2,046	11%	
15 Annapolis	51	56	0%	
16 Anne Arundel County Unincorporated Area	1,990	1,990	11%	
17	107	1 5 4 7	0%	
18 BALTIMORE CITY	107	1,547	8%	
19	- 1 101	2 1 0 7	0%	
20 BALTIMORE COUNTY 21	1,101	2,107	11%	
22 CALVERT	- 184	184	0% 1%	
23	104	104	0%	
24 CAROLINE	- 59	- 59	0%	
25 Caroline County Unincorporated Area	40	40	0%	
26 Denton	13	13	0%	
27 Federalsburg	1	1	0%	
28 Goldsboro	-	-	0%	
29 Greensboro	2	2	0%	
30 Henderson	_	-	0%	
31 Hillsboro	_	_	0%	
32 Marydel	-	_	0%	
33 Preston	-	-	0%	
34 Ridgely	3	3	0%	
35	-	-	0%	
36 CARROLL	290	399	2%	
37	-	-	0%	

38 CECIL	155	155	1%
39 Cecil County Unincorporated Area	144	144	1%
40 Elkton	11	11	0%
41 Rising Sun	-	-	0%
42	-	_	0%
43 CHARLES	688	734	4%
44 Charles County Unincorporated Area	611	657	4%
45 Indian Head	1	1	0%
46 La Plata	76	76	0%
47	-	-	0%
48 DORCHESTER	53	54	0%
49 Cambridge	15	16	0%
50 Dorchester County Unincorporated Area	33	33	0%
51 Hurlock	5	5	0%
52 Secretary	-	-	0%
53	-	-	0%
54 FREDERICK	1,610	2,000	11%
55 Frederick	177	196	1%
56 Frederick County Unincorporated Area	1,433	1,804	10%
57	-	-	0%
58 GARRETT	92	92	0%
59	-	-	0%
60 HARFORD	839	863	5%
61 Aberdeen	1	1	0%
62 Bel Air	28	52	0%
63 Harford County Unincorporated Area	685	685	4%
64 Havre de Grace	125	125	1%
65	-	-	0%
66 <b>HOWARD</b>	825	2,046	11%
67	-	-	0%
68 KENT	28	28	0%
69 Betterton	1	1	0%
70 Chestertown	-	-	0%
71 Galena	1	1	0%
72 Kent County Unincorporated Area	21	21	0%
73 Millington	-	-	0%
74 Rock Hall	5	5	0%
75	-	-	0%
76 MONTGOMERY	1,008	1,947	10%
77 Gaithersburg	15	20	0%
78 Montgomery County Unincorporated Area	970	1,835	10%
79 Rockville	23	92	0%
80	-	-	0%
81 PRINCE GEORGE'S	2,106	2,267	12%
82 Laurel	40	53	0%
83 Prince Georges County Unincorporated Area	2,066	2,214	12%
84	-	-	0%

05	QUEEN ANNE'S	219	279	1%
	Barclay	219	2/9	0%
	Centreville	19	79	0%
	Church Hill	1	1	0%
	Queen Anne	1	1	0%
	Queen Annes County Unincorporated Area	194	194	1%
	Queenstown	5	5	0%
	Sudlersville	3	3	0%
	Templeville	-	-	0%
93 94	Tempievine	-	-	0%
	ST. MARY'S	077	021	0% 5%
		877 51	931	
	Leonardtown		51	0%
	St. Marys County Unincorporated Area	826	880	5%
98	COMPREE	-	-	0%
	SOMERSET	36	36	0%
	Crisfield	4	4	0%
	Princess Anne	6	6	0%
	Somerset County Unincorporated Area	26	26	0%
103		-	-	0%
	TALBOT	91	107	1%
	Easton	53	69	0%
	Oxford	2	2	0%
	St. Michaels	10	10	0%
	Talbot County Unincorporated Area	24	24	0%
	Trappe	2	2	0%
110		-	-	0%
	WASHINGTON	308	310	2%
112	Boonsboro	32	32	0%
	Clear Spring	2	2	0%
114	Funkstown	-	-	0%
	Hagerstown	40	40	0%
	Hancock	1	1	0%
117	Keedysville	3	3	0%
118	Sharpsburg	-	-	0%
	Smithsburg	-	-	0%
120	Washington County Unincorporated Area	230	232	1%
121	Williamsport	-	-	0%
122		-	-	0%
123	WICOMICO	175	187	1%
124	Delmar	56	56	0%
125	Fruitland	12	12	0%
126	Salisbury	29	41	0%
127	Sharptown	4	4	0%
128	Wicomico County Unincorporated Area	73	73	0%
129	Willards	1	1	0%
130		-	-	0%
131	WORCESTER	198	239	1%
132	Berlin	10	33	0%
133	Ocean City	28	40	0%
	Pocomoke City	21	21	0%
	Snow Hill	2	2	0%
136	Worcester County Unincorporated Area	137	143	1%

2017

		TOTAL NEW AUTHORIZED HOUSING UNITS			
	State, Jurisdiction, Permit Issuing Place	Buildings	Total	Units as Percent of	
			Units	State	
1	MARYLAND	12,516	16,224	100.00%	
2		0	0	0.00%	
3		0	0	0.00%	
4	ALLEGANY	21	21	0.13%	
5	Allegany County Unincorporated Area	19	19	0.12%	
6	Barton	0	0	0.00%	
7	Cumberland	1	1	0.01%	
	Frostburg	0	0	0.00%	
	Lonaconing	0	0	0.00%	
	Luke	1	1	0.01%	
	Midland	0	0	0.00%	
12	Westernport	0	0	0.00%	
13		0	0	0.00%	
	ANNE ARUNDEL	1,843	2,406	14.83%	
	Annapolis	46	46	0.28%	
	Anne Arundel County Unincorporated Area	1,797	2,360	14.55%	
17	BALTIMORE CITY	0 175	0	0.00%	
18	BALTIMORE CITY	175 0	438 0	2.70% 0.00%	
20	BALTIMORE COUNTY	974	1,376	8.48%	
21	DALTIMORE COUNTT	0	1,370	0.00%	
	CALVERT	263	263	1.62%	
23	CALVERI	0	0	0.00%	
	CAROLINE	55	55	0.34%	
	Caroline County Unincorporated Area	40	40	0.25%	
	Denton	9	9	0.06%	
	Federalsburg	0	0	0.00%	
	Goldsboro	0	0	0.00%	
	Greensboro	1	1	0.01%	
	Henderson	0	0	0.00%	
31	Hillsboro	0	0	0.00%	
32	Marydel	0	0	0.00%	
33	Preston	0	0	0.00%	
34	Ridgely	5	5	0.03%	
35		0	0	0.00%	
	CARROLL	310	312	1.92%	
37		0	0	0.00%	

38 CECIL	99	99	0.61%
39 Cecil County Unincorporated Area	99	99	0.61%
40 Elkton	0	0	0.00%
41 Rising Sun	0	0	0.00%
42	0	0	0.00%
43 CHARLES	682	682	4.20%
44 Charles County Unincorporated Area	645	645	3.98%
45 Indian Head	1	1	0.01%
46 La Plata	36	36	0.22%
47	0	0	0.00%
48 DORCHESTER	42	43	0.27%
49 Cambridge	10	11	0.07%
50 Dorchester County Unincorporated Area	30	30	0.18%
51 Hurlock	2	2	0.01%
52 Secretary	0	0	0.00%
53	0	0	0.00%
54 FREDERICK	1,583	1,893	11.67%
55 Frederick	257	497	3.06%
56 Frederick County Unincorporated Area	1,326	1,396	8.60%
57	0	0	0.00%
58 <b>GARRETT</b> 59	67	67	0.41%
60 HARFORD	0 714	0 886	0.00% 5.46%
61 Aberdeen	18	18	0.11%
62 Bel Air	14	14	0.11%
63 Harford County Unincorporated Area	565	737	4.54%
64 Havre de Grace	117	117	0.72%
65	0	0	0.00%
66 HOWARD	827	1,219	7.51%
67	0	0	0.00%
68 KENT	33	33	0.20%
69 Betterton	0	0	0.00%
70 Chestertown	1	1	0.01%
71 Galena	1	1	0.01%
72 Kent County Unincorporated Area	27	27	0.17%
73 Millington	0	0	0.00%
74 Rock Hall	4	4	0.02%
75	0	0	0.00%
76 MONTGOMERY	1,085	1,637	10.09%
77 Gaithersburg	56	80	0.49%
78 Montgomery County Unincorporated Area	1,007	1,411	8.70%
79 Rockville	22	146	0.90%
80	0	0	0.00%
81 PRINCE GEORGE'S	1,730	2,618	16.14%
82 Laurel	40	47	0.29%
83 Prince Georges County Unincorporated Area	1,690	2,571	15.85%
84	0	0	0.00%

85 QUEEN ANNE'S	203	203	1.25%	
86 Barclay	0	0	0.00%	
87 Centreville	19	19	0.12%	
88 Church Hill	0	0	0.00%	
89 Queen Anne	0	0	0.00%	
90 Queen Annes County Unincorporated Area	180	180	1.11%	
91 Queenstown	4	4	0.02%	
92 Sudlersville	0	0	0.00%	
93 Templeville	0	0	0.00%	
94	0	0	0.00%	
95 ST. MARY'S	1,164	1,164	7.17%	
96 Leonardtown	32	32	0.20%	
97 St. Marys County Unincorporated Area	1,132	1,132	6.98%	
98	0	0	0.00%	
99 SOMERSET	16	16	0.10%	
100 Crisfield	10	10	0.10%	
101 Princess Anne	2	2	0.01%	
102 Somerset County Unincorporated Area	13	13	0.08%	
103	0	0	0.00%	
104 TALBOT	74	74	0.46%	
105 Easton	48	48	0.30%	
106 Oxford	1	1	0.01%	
107 St. Michaels	5	5	0.03%	
108 Talbot County Unincorporated Area	18	18	0.11%	
109 Trappe	2	2	0.01%	
110	0	0	0.00%	
111 WASHINGTON	252	308	1.90%	
112 Boonsboro	15	15	0.09%	
113 Clear Spring	2	2	0.01%	
114 Funkstown	0	0	0.00%	
115 Hagerstown	26	26	0.16%	
116 Hancock	0	0	0.00%	
117 Keedysville	14	14	0.09%	
118 Sharpsburg	1	1	0.01%	
119 Smithsburg	0	0	0.00%	
120 Washington County Unincorporated Area	194	250	1.54%	
121 Williamsport	0	0	0.00%	
122	0	0	0.00%	
123 WICOMICO	127	129	0.80%	
124 Delmar	9	9	0.06%	
125 Fruitland	4	4	0.02%	
126 Salisbury	17	19	0.12%	
127 Sharptown	0	0	0.00%	
128 Wicomico County Unincorporated Area	95	95	0.59%	
129 Willards	2	2	0.01%	
130	0	0	0.00%	
131 WORCESTER	177	282	1.74%	
132 Berlin	11	102	0.63%	
133 Ocean City	24	27	0.17%	
134 Pocomoke City	7	7	0.04%	
135 Snow Hill	2	2	0.01%	
136 Worcester County Unincorporated Area	133	144	0.89%	
	133	411	0.0070	

2016

	TOTAL NEW AUTHORIZED HOUSING UNITS			
State, Jurisdiction, Permit Issuing Place	isdiction, Permit Issuing Place Buildings		Units as Percent of State	
1 MARYLAND	11 221	17.044	100%	
1 MARYLAND 2	11,331	17,044	0%	
3	_	_	0%	
4 ALLEGANY	27	41	0%	
5 Allegany County Unincorporated Area	21	21	0%	
6 Barton	-	-	0%	
7 Cumberland	3	3	0%	
8 Frostburg	3	17	0%	
9 Lonaconing	-	-	0%	
10 Luke	-	-	0%	
11 Midland	-	-	0%	
12 Westernport	-	-	0%	
13	-	-	0%	
14 ANNE ARUNDEL	1,717	2,394	14%	
15 Annapolis 16 Anne Arundel County Unincorporated Area	40 1,677	40 2,354	0% 14%	
17	1,077	2,334	0%	
18 BALTIMORE CITY	286	943	6%	
19	-	-	0%	
20 BALTIMORE COUNTY	771	1,293	8%	
21	-	, -	0%	
22 CALVERT	236	236	1%	
23	-	-	0%	
24 CAROLINE	55	85	0%	
25 Caroline County Unincorporated Area	34	34	0%	
26 Denton	12	42	0%	
27 Federalsburg	-	-	0%	
28 Goldsboro	-	-	0%	
29 Greensboro	-	-	0%	
30 Henderson	-	-	0%	
31 Hillsboro 32 Marydel	-	-	0% 0%	
33 Preston	-	-	0% 0%	
34 Ridgely	9	9	0%	
35	-	-	0%	
36 CARROLL	290	294	2%	
37	-	-	0%	

38 CECIL	78	78	0%
39 Cecil County Unincorporated Area	76	76	0%
40 Elkton	2	2	0%
41 Rising Sun	-	-	0%
42	-	-	0%
43 CHARLES	800	869	5%
44 Charles County Unincorporated Area	761	830	5%
45 Indian Head	1	1	0%
46 La Plata	38	38	0%
47	-	-	0%
48 DORCHESTER	44	81	0%
49 Cambridge	7	44	0%
50 Dorchester County Unincorporated Area	36	36	0%
51 Hurlock	-	<del>-</del>	0%
52 Secretary	1	1	0%
53	-	-	0%
54 FREDERICK	926	1,906	11%
55 Frederick	188	625	4%
56 Frederick County Unincorporated Area 57	738	1,281	8%
58 GARRETT	43	43	0% 0%
59 GARRETT	43	43	0%
60 HARFORD	622	847	5%
61 Aberdeen	55	232	1%
62 Bel Air	3	3	0%
63 Harford County Unincorporated Area	500	548	3%
64 Havre de Grace	64	64	0%
65	-	-	0%
66 HOWARD	1,058	2,154	13%
67	-	-	0%
68 KENT	28	28	0%
69 Betterton	1	1	0%
70 Chestertown	7	7	0%
71 Galena	1	1	0%
72 Kent County Unincorporated Area	17	17	0%
73 Millington	<del>-</del>	-	0%
74 Rock Hall	2	2	0%
75	-	-	0%
76 MONTGOMERY	1,428	2,170	13%
77 Gaithersburg	72	75 1.700	0%
78 Montgomery County Unincorporated Area	1,324	1,789	10%
79 Rockville	32	306	2%
80 91 DDINGE GEODGE'S	- 1 540	- 2.060	0% 12%
81 <b>PRINCE GEORGE'S</b> 82 Laurel	1,569 16	2,060 16	12% 0%
83 Prince Georges County Unincorporated Area	16 1,553	2,044	0% 12%
84	1,333	4,044	0%
04	-	-	U%0

1	_			,
85	QUEEN ANNE'S	123	145	1%
86	Barclay	-	-	0%
87	Centreville	16	16	0%
88	Church Hill	1	1	0%
89	Queen Anne	-	_	0%
	Queen Annes County Unincorporated Area	102	124	1%
	Queenstown	4	4	0%
	Sudlersville	т	7	0%
		-	-	
	Templeville	-	-	0%
94		-	-	0%
	ST. MARY'S	691	698	4%
	Leonardtown	30	37	0%
	St. Marys County Unincorporated Area	661	661	4%
98		-	-	0%
99	SOMERSET	25	25	0%
100	Crisfield	4	4	0%
101	Princess Anne	6	6	0%
102	Somerset County Unincorporated Area	15	15	0%
103		-	_	0%
	TALBOT	50	50	0%
	Easton	28	28	0%
	Oxford	1	1	0%
	St. Michaels	3	3	0%
	Talbot County Unincorporated Area	16	16	0%
	Trappe	2	2	0%
110		-	-	0%
	WASHINGTON	197	200	1%
	Boonsboro	22	22	0%
	Clear Spring	-	-	0%
	Funkstown	-	-	0%
115	Hagerstown	25	25	0%
116	Hancock	-	-	0%
117	Keedysville	7	7	0%
	Sharpsburg	-	-	0%
	Smithsburg	_	_	0%
	Washington County Unincorporated Area	143	146	1%
	Williamsport			0%
122	•	_	_	0%
	WICOMICO	91	171	1%
	Delmar	8	8	0%
	Fruitland	10	24	0%
	Salisbury	12	78	0%
	Sharptown	-	-	0%
	Wicomico County Unincorporated Area	58	58	0%
	Willards	3	3	0%
130		-	-	0%
	WORCESTER	176	233	1%
	Berlin	10	33	0%
	Ocean City	39	39	0%
134	Pocomoke City	3	3	0%
135	Snow Hill	3	3	0%
136	Worcester County Unincorporated Area	121	155	1%

2015

		TOTAL NEW AUTHORIZED HOUSING UNITS			
	State, Jurisdiction, Permit Issuing Place	Buildings	Total Units	Units as Percent of State	
1	MARYLAND	11,329	17,057	100.00%	
2		-	-	0.00%	
3	ALLECANY	-	- 40	0.00%	
	ALLEGANY	21	49	0.29%	
	Allegany County Unincorporated Area Barton	20	20	0.12% 0.00%	
_	Cumberland	-	-	0.00%	
	Frostburg	1	29	0.00%	
	Lonaconing	_		0.00%	
	Luke	_	_	0.00%	
	Midland	_	_	0.00%	
	Westernport	_	_	0.00%	
13		-	_	0.00%	
	ANNE ARUNDEL	1,597	2,656	15.57%	
	Annapolis	44	55	0.32%	
	Anne Arundel County Unincorporated Area	1,553	2,601		
17		-	· -	0.00%	
18	BALTIMORE CITY	231	1,293	7.58%	
19		-	-	0.00%	
20	BALTIMORE COUNTY	774	1,312	7.69%	
21		-	-	0.00%	
22	CALVERT	328	332	1.95%	
23		-	-	0.00%	
	CAROLINE	46	46	0.27%	
	Caroline County Unincorporated Area	38	38	0.22%	
	Denton	8	8	0.05%	
	Federalsburg	-	-	0.00%	
	Goldsboro	-	-	0.00%	
	Greensboro	-	-	0.00%	
	Henderson	-	-	0.00%	
	Hillsboro	-	-	0.00%	
	Marydel	-	-	0.00%	
	Preston	-	-	0.00%	
	Ridgely	-	-	0.00%	
35	CARROLL	- 293	319	0.00% 1.87%	
36 37		273	319		
<b>3</b> /		-	-	0.00%	

38 CECIL	109	109	0.64%
39 Cecil County Unincorporated Area	99	99	0.58%
40 Elkton	10	10	0.06%
41 Rising Sun	-	-	0.00%
42	-	-	0.00%
43 CHARLES	939	1,214	7.12%
44 Charles County Unincorporated Area	855	1,130	6.62%
45 Indian Head	-	-	0.00%
46 La Plata	84	84	0.49%
47	-	-	0.00%
48 DORCHESTER	36	36	0.21%
49 Cambridge	2	2	0.01%
50 Dorchester County Unincorporated Area	30	30	0.18%
51 Hurlock	-	-	0.00%
52 Secretary 53	4	4	0.02% 0.00%
54 FREDERICK	- 790	1,303	0.00% 7.64%
55 Frederick	212	383	2.25%
56 Frederick County Unincorporated Area	578	920	5.39%
57	370	920	0.00%
58 GARRETT	224	224	1.31%
59	-	-	0.00%
60 HARFORD	500	923	5.41%
61 Aberdeen	55	55	0.32%
62 Bel Air	5	5	0.03%
63 Harford County Unincorporated Area	397	820	4.81%
64 Havre de Grace	43	43	0.25%
65	-	-	0.00%
66 <b>HOWARD</b>	1,137	1,593	9.34%
67	-	-	0.00%
68 KENT	25	25	0.15%
69 Betterton	1	1	0.01%
70 Chestertown	3	3	0.02%
71 Galena	-	-	0.00%
72 Kent County Unincorporated Area	20	20	0.12%
73 Millington	-	-	0.00%
74 Rock Hall	1	1	0.01%
75	1 270	2.000	0.00%
76 MONTGOMERY 77 Gaithersburg	1,378	2,080	12.19%
77 Gaithersburg 78 Montgomery County Unincorporated Area	161	161 1 964	0.94%
79 Rockville	1,209 8	1,864 55	10.93% 0.32%
80	Ö	55	0.32%
81 PRINCE GEORGE'S	1,439	- 1,757	10.30%
82 Laurel	1,437	1,737	0.01%
83 Prince Georges County Unincorporated Area	1,438	1,756	10.29%
84	-	-	0.00%
			/ 0

85 QUEEN ANNE'S	167	168	0.98%
86 Barclay	-	-	0.00%
87 Centreville	51	51	0.30%
88 Church Hill	2	2	0.01%
89 Queen Anne	1	1	0.01%
90 Queen Annes County Unincorporated Area	107	107	0.63%
91 Queenstown	5	6	0.04%
92 Sudlersville	-	-	0.00%
93 Templeville	1	1	0.01%
94	-	-	0.00%
95 ST. MARY'S	629	641	3.76%
96 Leonardtown	49	61	0.36%
97 St. Marys County Unincorporated Area	580	580	3.40%
98	-	-	0.00%
99 <b>SOMERSET</b>	37	181	1.06%
100 Crisfield	2	2	0.01%
101 Princess Anne	8	152	0.89%
102 Somerset County Unincorporated Area	27	27	0.16%
103	-	-	0.00%
104 TALBOT	73	73	0.43%
105 Easton	35	35	0.21%
106 Oxford	1	1	0.01%
107 St. Michaels	2	2	0.01%
108 Talbot County Unincorporated Area	35	35	0.21%
109 Trappe	-	-	0.00%
110	-	-	0.00%
111 WASHINGTON	225	320	1.88%
112 Boonsboro	23	23	0.13%
113 Clear Spring	-	-	0.00%
114 Funkstown	-	-	0.00%
115 Hagerstown	22	22	0.13%
116 Hancock	2	2	0.01%
117 Keedysville	1	1	0.01%
118 Sharpsburg	-	-	0.00%
119 Smithsburg	-	-	0.00%
120 Washington County Unincorporated Area	177	272	1.59%
121 Williamsport	-	-	0.00%
122	-	-	0.00%
123 WICOMICO	101	137	0.80%
124 Delmar	11	11	0.06%
125 Fruitland	11	11	0.06%
126 Salisbury	11	47	0.28%
127 Sharptown	-	-	0.00%
128 Wicomico County Unincorporated Area	65	65	0.38%
129 Willards	3	3	0.02%
130	-	-	0.00%
131 WORCESTER	230	266	1.56%
132 Berlin	55	55	0.32%
133 Ocean City	32	41	0.24%
134 Pocomoke City	4	4	0.02%
135 Snow Hill	2	2	0.01%
136 Worcester County Unincorporated Area	137	164	0.96%

2014

	TOTAL NI	TOTAL NEW AUTHORIZED HOUSING UNITS			
State, Jurisdiction, Permit Issuing Place	Buildings	Total Units	Units as Percent of		
	<b>B</b> °		State		
1 MARYLAND 2	10,782	16,331	100.00% 0.00%		
3	-	-	0.00%		
4 ALLEGANY	21	25	0.15%		
5 Allegany County Unincorporated Area	15	15	0.09%		
6 Barton	1	1	0.01%		
7 Cumberland	3	3	0.02%		
8 Frostburg	1	5	0.03%		
9 Lonaconing	1	1	0.01%		
10 Luke	-	-	0.00%		
11 Midland	-	-	0.00%		
12 Westernport	-	-	0.00%		
13	-	-	0.00%		
14 ANNE ARUNDEL	1,703	2,441	14.95%		
15 Annapolis	162	372			
16 Anne Arundel County Unincorporated Area	1,541	2,069			
17	-	-	0.00%		
18 BALTIMORE CITY	251	821	5.03%		
19	-	<b>-</b>	0.00%		
20 BALTIMORE COUNTY	834	1,004	6.15%		
21	-	-	0.00%		
22 CALVERT	213	213	1.30%		
23	-	-	0.00%		
24 CAROLINE	35	35	0.21%		
25 Caroline County Unincorporated Area	30	30	0.18%		
26 Denton	4	4	0.02%		
27 Federalsburg	-	-	0.00%		
28 Goldsboro 29 Greensboro	- 1	1	0.00%		
30 Henderson	1	1	0.01% 0.00%		
31 Hillsboro	-	-	0.00%		
32 Marydel	-	<u>-</u> =	0.00%		
33 Preston	- -	-	0.00%		
34 Ridgely	-	_	0.00%		
35	-	_	0.00%		
36 CARROLL	313	355	2.17%		
37	-	-	0.00%		

38 CECIL	149	278	1.70%
39 Cecil County Unincorporated Area	136	265	1.62%
40 Elkton	13	13	0.08%
41 Rising Sun	-	-	0.00%
42	-	-	0.00%
43 CHARLES	790	790	4.84%
44 Charles County Unincorporated Area	730	730	4.47%
45 Indian Head	1	1	0.01%
46 La Plata	59	59	0.36%
47	-	-	0.00%
48 <b>DORCHESTER</b>	27	27	0.17%
49 Cambridge	11	11	0.07%
50 Dorchester County Unincorporated Area	15	15	0.09%
51 Hurlock	-	-	0.00%
52 Secretary	1	1	0.01%
53	-	-	0.00%
54 FREDERICK	827	1,311	8.03%
55 Frederick	216	672	4.11%
56 Frederick County Unincorporated Area	611	639	3.91%
57	-	-	0.00%
58 GARRETT	85	85	0.52%
59	-	-	0.00%
60 HARFORD	538	860	5.27%
61 Aberdeen	44	117	0.72%
62 Bel Air	2	2	0.01%
63 Harford County Unincorporated Area	444	693	4.24%
64 Havre de Grace	48	48	0.29%
65	-	-	0.00%
66 <b>HOWARD</b>	947	1,446	8.85%
67	-	-	0.00%
68 KENT	54	54	0.33%
69 Betterton	-	-	0.00%
70 Chestertown	4	4	0.02%
71 Galena	1	1	0.01%
72 Kent County Unincorporated Area	45	45	0.28%
73 Millington	-	-	0.00%
74 Rock Hall	4	4	0.02%
75	-	-	0.00%
76 MONTGOMERY	1,518	3,839	23.51%
77 Gaithersburg	198	247	1.51%
78 Montgomery County Unincorporated Area	1,301	3,014	18.46%
79 Rockville	19	578	3.54%
80	<del>-</del>	<u>-</u>	0.00%
81 PRINCE GEORGE'S	1,292	1,292	7.91%
82 Laurel	-	_	0.00%
83 Prince Georges County Unincorporated Area	1,292	1,292	7.91%
84	-	-	0.00%

OF OHEEN AND	IEIC	160	170	1.060/
85 QUEEN ANN	NE.2	169	173	1.06%
86 Barclay		-	20	0.00%
87 Centreville		39	39	0.24%
88 Church Hill		3	7	0.04%
89 Queen Anne		1	1	0.01%
-	s County Unincorporated Area	126	126	0.77%
91 Queenstown		-	-	0.00%
92 Sudlersville		-	-	0.00%
93 Templeville		-	-	0.00%
94		-	-	0.00%
95 <b>ST. MARY'S</b>		452	457	2.80%
96 Leonardtow		50	55	0.34%
	ounty Unincorporated Area	402	402	2.46%
98		-	-	0.00%
99 <b>SOMERSET</b>		52	140	0.86%
100 Crisfield		7	7	0.04%
101 Princess An		3	4	0.02%
102 Somerset Co	ounty Unincorporated Area	42	129	0.79%
103		-	-	0.00%
104 <b>TALBOT</b>		43	43	0.26%
105 Easton		22	22	0.13%
106 Oxford		-	-	0.00%
107 St. Michaels		4	4	0.02%
108 Talbot Coun	ty Unincorporated Area	17	17	0.10%
109 Trappe		-	_	0.00%
110		-	-	0.00%
111 <b>WASHINGT</b>	ON	198	274	1.68%
112 Boonsboro		9	9	0.06%
113 Clear Spring		_	-	0.00%
114 Funkstown	,	-	-	0.00%
115 Hagerstown		30	106	0.65%
116 Hancock		_	-	0.00%
117 Keedysville		_	-	0.00%
118 Sharpsburg		_	-	0.00%
119 Smithsburg		_	-	0.00%
	County Unincorporated Area	159	159	0.97%
121 Williamspor			-	0.00%
122	•	_	_	0.00%
123 WICOMICO		121	168	1.03%
124 Delmar		13	13	0.08%
125 Fruitland		30	30	0.18%
126 Salisbury		11	58	0.36%
127 Sharptown		-	-	0.00%
	ounty Unincorporated Area	61	61	0.37%
129 Willards	ounty omneorporated med	6	6	0.04%
130		-	-	0.00%
131 WORCESTE	'R	150	200	1.22%
132 Berlin		4	4	0.02%
133 Ocean City		30	62	0.38%
134 Pocomoke C	ity .	2	2	0.01%
135 Snow Hill	ncy	_	_	0.01%
	County Unincorporated Area	114	132	0.81%
130 Wolcestel C	ouncy omnicorporated Area	114	132	0.0170

#### Appendix D

2019 County and Municipal Population Ranking

Place	County Ranking	Municipal Rank
Allegany County	16	
Barton town		127
Cumberland city		12
Frostburg city		26
Lonaconing town		94
Luke town		154
Midland town		128
Westernport town		78
Anne Arundel County	5	
Annapolis city		7
Highland Beach town		152
Baltimore city	4	1
Baltimore County	3	
Calvert County	15	
Chesapeake Beach town		37
North Beach town	<u>                                      </u>	73
Caroline County	20	
Denton town		48
Federalsburg town		64
Goldsboro town		140
Greensboro town		75
Henderson town		147
Hillsboro town		145
Marydel town		148
Preston town		109
Ridgely town		79
Templeville town (pt.)		151
Carroll County	9	
Hampstead town (pt.)		35
Manchester town		44
Mount Airy town (pt.)		24
New Windsor town		86
Sykesville town		51
Taneytown city		31
Union Bridge town		102
Westminster city		13
Cecil County	14	
Cecilton town		113
Charlestown town		93
Chesapeake City town		112
Elkton town		18
North East town		55
Perryville town		49
Port Deposit town		106
Rising Sun town		63

Place	County Ranking	Municipal Rank
Charles County	10	·
Indian Head town		53
La Plata town		23
Port Tobacco Village town		157
Dorchester County	21	
Brookview town		155
Cambridge city		21
Church Creek town		150
East New Market town		130
Eldorado town		156
Galestown town		149
Hurlock town		74
Secretary town		124
Vienna town		139
Frederick County	7	
Brunswick city		32
Burkittsville town		144
Emmitsburg town		60
Frederick city		2
Middletown town		45
Mount Airy town (pt.)		24
Myersville town		76
New Market town		108
Rosemont village		134
Thurmont town		30
Walkersville town		34
Woodsboro town		91
Garrett County	22	
Accident town		136
Deer Park town		131
Friendsville town		125
Grantsville town		104
Kitzmiller town		137
Loch Lynn Heights town		123
Mountain Lake Park town		70
Oakland town		77
Harford County	8	
Aberdeen city		17
Bel Air town		22
Havre de Grace city		19
Howard County	6	
Kent County	24	
Betterton town		135
Chestertown town		41
Galena town		120
Millington town (pt.)		118
Rock Hall town		89

	2019 Estimates)	Municipal Devil
Place	County Ranking	Municipal Rank
Montgomery County	1	4.40
Barnesville town		142
Brookeville town		146
Chevy Chase town		61
Chevy Chase Section Five village		110
Chevy Chase Section Three village		105
Chevy Chase View town		101
Chevy Chase Village town		71
Gaithersburg city		4
Garrett Park town		96
Glen Echo town		138
Kensington town		68
Laytonsville town		129
Martin's Additions village		100
North Chevy Chase village		119
Poolesville town		40
Rockville city		3
Somerset town		90
Takoma Park city		15
Washington Grove town		121
Prince George's County	2	
Berwyn Heights town		59
Bladensburg town		25
Bowie city		5
Brentwood town		57
Capitol Heights town		47
Cheverly town		33
College Park city		9
Colmar Manor town		85
Cottage City town		87
District Heights city		38
Eagle Harbor town		153
Edmonston town		83
Fairmount Heights town		82
Forest Heights town		66
Glenarden city		36
Greenbelt city		11
Hyattsville city		14
Landover Hills town		80
Laurel city		10
Morningside town		88
Mount Rainier city		27
New Carrollton city		20
North Brentwood town		122
Riverdale Park town		28
Seat Pleasant city		46
University Park town		65
<u> </u>		
Upper Marlboro town	l j	113

(Based on July 1,		Municipal Pont
****	County Ranking	Municipal Rank
Queen Anne's County	18	4.40
Barclay town		143
Centreville town		42
Church Hill town		107
Millington town (pt.)		118
Queen Anne town (pt.)		141
Queenstown town		111
Sudlersville town		126
Templeville town (pt.)	40	151
St. Mary's County	12	
Leonardtown town		52
Somerset County	23	
Crisfield city		66
Princess Anne town	40	56
Talbot County	19	40
Easton town		16
Oxford town		117
Queen Anne town (pt.)		141
St. Michaels town		97
Trappe town		99
Washington County	11	
Boonsboro town		54
Clear Spring town		132
Funkstown town		103
Hagerstown city		6
Hancock town		81
Keedysville town		92
Sharpsburg town		116
Smithsburg town		62
Williamsport town		69
Wicomico County	13	
Delmar town		58
Fruitland city		39
Hebron town		95
Mardela Springs town		133
Pittsville town		84
Salisbury city		8
Sharptown town		115
Willards town		98
Worcester County	17	
Berlin town		43
Ocean City town		29
Pocomoke City city		50
Snow Hill town		72